

TECHNICAL REPORT

March 2010

Evaluation of FY09 Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) and Local Alcohol Program (LAP) Projects in Illinois

For more information please contact:
Illinois Department of Transportation
Division of Traffic Safety
Evaluation Unit
1340 North 9th St.
Springfield, Illinois 62702

Illinois Department of Transportation Division of Traffic Safety Evaluation Unit

The Evaluation Unit within the Division of Traffic Safety in the Illinois Department of Transportation focuses on evaluation and monitoring of various highway safety projects and programs in Illinois. The Evaluation Unit conducts research and analyses that enhance the safety and efficiency of transportation by understanding the human factors that are important to transportation programs in Illinois. The main functions of the Unit include the following:

- 1. Develop an in-depth analysis of motor vehicle related fatalities and injuries in Illinois using several crash related databases (Crash data, FARS, Trauma Registry, and Hospital data, state and local police data).
- 2. Develop measurable long term and short term goals and objectives for the Highway Safety Program in Illinois using historical crash related databases.
- 3. Evaluate each highway safety project with enforcement component (e.g., Traffic Law Enforcement Program, Local Alcohol Program, IMaGE projects) using crash and citation data provided by local and state police Departments.
- Evaluate several highway safety programs (e.g., Occupant Protection and Alcohol). This
 involves evaluating the effects of public policy and intervention programs that promote safe
 driving.
- 5. Design and conduct annual observational safety belt and child safety seat surveys for Illinois. This survey is based on a multi-stage random selection of Interstate Highways, US/IL Highways, and several local and residential streets.
- 6. Provide results of research and evaluation as well as annual enforcement activities to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) as part of the Federal Requirements of State Highway Safety Program in Illinois.
- 7. Provide statistical consultation to other Sections at the Division of Traffic Safety and other Divisions at IDOT.
- 8. Publish results of all research and evaluation at the Division and place them as PDF files at IDOT's Website.

This report provides descriptive evaluations of the Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) and the Local Alcohol Program (LAP) using the fiscal year 2009 monthly enforcement data obtained from the local grantees.

The report was compiled and prepared by the Evaluation staff. Comments or questions may be addressed to Mehdi Nassirpour, Ph.D., Chief of Evaluation Unit, Bureau of Administrative Services, Division of Traffic Safety, Illinois Department of Transportation, 1340 North 9th St., Springfield, Illinois 62702.

Table of Contents

Evaluation of the FY09 Traffic Law Enforcement Program Projects	1
TLEP Evaluation Summary	2
TLEP Projects Overview Section	4
Recommendations for Future TLEPs and LAPs	7
TLEP Project Evaluation Section	8
DeKalb Police Department	9
Stephenson County Sheriff's Office	12
Winnebago County Sheriff's Office	15
TLEP Project Evaluations With Multiple Years of Funding	18
Evaluation of the FY09 Local Alcohol Program Projects	21
LAP Evaluation Summary	22
LAP Projects Overview Section	23
LAP Project Evaluation Section	26
Buffalo Grove Police Department	27
Chicago Police Department - Strikeforce	30
Chicago Heights Police Department	34
Cook County Sheriff'	37
Elgin Police Department	40
Macon County Sheriff's Office	43
Robinson Police Department	47
Sangamon County Sheriff's Office	51
Skokie Police Department	54

Springfield Police Department	57
St. Clair County Sheriff's Office	60
Waukegan Police Department	64
Wheeling Police Department	67
Will County Sheriff's Office	70
LAP Project Evaluations with Multiple Years of Funding	73
List of Tables	
Table 1: TLEP Agency Costs and Project Hours	2
Table 2: Fiscal Year 2009 TLEP Program Overview	6
Table 3: DeKalb TLEP Project Summary Data	.11
Table 4: Stephenson County TLEP Project Summary Data	.14
Table 5: Winnebago County TLEP Project Summary Data	.17
Table 6: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding-TLEP	20
Table 7: Fiscal Year 2009 LAP Overview	25
Table 8: Buffalo Grove LAP Project Summary Data	29
Table 9: Chicago Strikeforce Project Summary Data	.33
Table 10: Chicago Heights LAP Project Summary Data	36
Table 11: Cook County LAP Project Summary Data	39
Table 12: Elgin LAP Project Summary Data	42
Table 13: Macon County LAP Project Summary Data	46
Table 14: Robinson LAP Project Summary Data	50
Table 15: Sangamon County LAP Project Summary Data	53
Table 16: Skokie LAP Project Summary Data	56

Table 17: Springfield LAP Project Summary Data	59
Table 18: St. Clair County LAP Project Summary Data	63
Table 19: Waukegan LAP Project Summary Data	66
Table 20: Wheeling LAP Project Summary Data	69
Table 21: Will County LAP Project Summary Data	72
Table 22: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding-LAP	80

Evaluation of the FY09 Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) Projects in Illinois

TLEP EVALUATION SUMMARY

The Division of Traffic Safety's Local Projects Section funded a total of three Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) projects for Fiscal Year 2009. These TLEP projects were awarded to DeKalb Police Department, the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office, and the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office. The impact evaluations of the TLEPs were based on the FY09 enforcement data submitted to the Law Enforcement Liaisons (LELs) and later supplied to the Evaluation Unit (see **Tables 2 through 9**).

The program cost for all TLEP projects during FY09 was \$542,946.76. The total amount spent on personnel costs (including personal services, fringe benefits, and social security) was \$496,458.91. The remaining \$46,487.85 was allocated for equipment, mileage, and conference travel (to traffic enforcement conferences). The average personnel cost per hour was \$47.61 (\$496,458.91 divided by 21,420 total project hours). Although there were a total 10,428.5 hours reported for the three TLEP projects, only 7,041.5 hours (67.5 percent) were reported for traffic safety enforcement activities. The remaining 3,387 hours were spent on non-traffic safety enforcement activities was 19.5 percent for both DeKalb and Winnebago County, while Stephenson County averaged more than 55 percent of its activities for non-traffic enforcement (see **Table 1**). Therefore, an approximate amount of funding spent on actual enforcement was \$335,245.82 (7,041.5 enforcement hours multiplied by \$47.61 per hour). The average cost of a citation, using the total amount spent on traffic safety enforcement, was \$43.47 (\$335,245.82 divided by 7,713 citations).

Table 1: TLEP Agency Costs and Project Hours

								
						Non-		% Non-
		Total	Personnel		Enforcement	Enforc.	Total	Enforcement
Agency	Ex	enditures†	Costs*		Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours
DeKalb	\$	130,254.18	\$	123,612.59	2,679.0	650.0	3,329.0	19.5%
Stephenson Co.	\$	153,830.72	\$	129,104.94	1,683.5	2,087.0	3,770.5	55.4%
Winnebago Co.	\$	258,861.86	\$	243,741.38	2,679.0	650.0	3,329.0	19.5%
	\$	542,946.76	\$	496,458.91	7,041.5	3,387.0	10,428.5	32.5%

[†] Total Expenditures include Personal Services, Fringe Benefits, Social Security, Equipment Purchases, Equipment Upgrades and Maintenance, and grant-related travel.

^{*} Personnel Costs are the sum of Personal Services, Fringe Benefits, and Social Security.

Overall the TLEP projects tend to be very expensive since the grantees spent a large proportion of their grant money on non-traffic safety enforcement activities. Therefore it is recommended that DTS staff and LELs audit these agencies and find out reasons for using the traffic safety fund to conduct non traffic related activities.

The Project Evaluation Section provides the assessment of each individual project based on four criteria:

- 1) Actual Patrol Hours
- 2) Motorist Contact Rate
- 3) 30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations
- 4) Speeding Violations Less than 50 Percent

TLEP PROJECTS OVERVIEW SECTION

(Please refer to **Table 1** for the following section)

Actual Patrol Hours

The Actual Patrol Hours objective is defined as those hours which are spent pursuing traffic violations minus the hours dedicated to the investigation of crashes, analysis of crash data, administrative duties, court time, and leave time.

Table 1 shows that two of the three projects met this objective. DeKalb met its weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 43.5 patrol hours per week. Also, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 51.6 patrol hours per week. On the other hand, the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only averaging 32.4 patrol hours per week.

Motorist Contact Rate

The Motorist Contact Rate is a measuring device for the Division of Traffic Safety to determine if the local police departments were active in the pursuit of traffic violations. The Division sets the motorist contact rate at one citation or written warning for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

As shown in **Table 1**, all of the projects were required to meet this objective. The DeKalb Police Department and Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met this objective, while the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office did not. The DeKalb PD wrote one citation for every 43.8 minutes of patrol and the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office wrote one citation for every 44.3 minutes of patrol. On the other hand, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by only making one contact for every 68.9 minutes of patrol. The overall contact rate for the three TLEP projects was one citation issued for every 51.5 minutes of patrol.

30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations

The 30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations is also a requirement of the TLEP program specifications. This objective emphasizes the enforcement of both occupant protection laws (safety belt and child safety seat).

Table 1 shows that two of the three projects met the 30 percent occupant restraint objective. These projects included the DeKalb PD (43.8 percent) and Stephenson County SO (44.3 percent).

The Winnebago County SO did not meet this objective by only issuing 7.1 percent of its citations for occupant protection violations. Overall, the percent of citations issued for occupant restraint violations for the three TLEP projects was 25.3.

Speeding Citations Percentage

The 50 Percent Speeding Citations Percentage has been an objective required of TLEP projects in recent years. This objective sets forth criteria that the participating agencies may not issue more than 50 percent of all their citations for speeding violations and it ensures that law

enforcement pursues violators other than those for speeding. All three projects met this objective. The percentage of speeding citations ranged from 28.1 percent for the Winnebago County SO to 50.2 percent for the Stephenson County SO.

Table 2: Fiscal Year 2009 TLEP Overview

		Project Objectives														
Location (Population)		Schedule s/week		contact rate & Warnings	Res	upant traint entage		g Citations entage	Months of Project Data	Total Expenditures	Personnel Costs	Years of Enforcement Funding				
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.								
DeKalb (39,018)	> 20.0	43.5 hrs.	< 60 min.	43.8 mins.	> 30.0	34.9%	< 50.0	39.9%	12	\$ 130,254.18	\$ 123,612.59	2				
Stephenson Co (48,979)	>80.0	32.4 hrs.	< 60 min.	44.3 mins.	> 30.0	30.8%	< 50.0	50.2%	12	\$ 153,830.72	\$ 129,104.94	2				
Winnebago Co (278,418)	> 30.0	51.6 hrs.	< 60 min.	68.9 mins.	> 30.0	7.1%	< 50.0	28.1%	12	\$ 258,861.86	\$ 243,741.38	2				
TLEP Program Summary			< 60 min.	51.5 mins.	> 30.0	25.3%	< 50.0	39.4%		\$ 542,946.76	\$ 496,458.81					

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.
Stephenson Co. was required to employ two full time officers. Stephenson Counties patrol hours per week objective

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE TLEPS AND LAPS

The following recommendations are made to enhance the success of future Traffic Law Enforcement Program (TLEP) and Local Alcohol Program (LAP) projects:

- 1) The Law Enforcement Liaisons (LELs) should continue to review the monthly enforcement data and notify the locals of any project deficiencies.
- 2) More emphasis should be placed on the accomplishments of the enforcement objectives, through more on-site monitoring by the Law Enforcement Liaisons.
- 3) Ensure that all project enforcement data are reported in a timely fashion.
- 4) Use the Division of Traffic Safety's Overrepresentation Study to identify new areas in which TLEP funds can be utilized rather than continue funding projects beyond the third year.
- 5) Identify those projects that cannot produce the level of enforcement that the TLEP program requires and recommend those projects be discontinued or funded through a different grant program.
- 6) Counties or cities with an inadequate number of enforcement personnel should not be considered for TLEP or LAP funding, but should be directed to a different grant program if they are eligible to participate.

TLEP PROJECT EVALUATION SECTION

This section provides the following materials for each TLEP project:

- 1) Brief Background
- 2) Project Cost
- 3) Project Evaluation
 - a. Proposed Objectives
 - b. Accomplishments
 - c. Brief Conclusion
 - d. Enforcement Data and Information

DEKALB POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The city of DeKalb is located in DeKalb County which is about 60 miles southwest of Chicago. DeKalb has a population greater than 46,000. Plus, DeKalb is the home of Northern Illinois University which has a student population greater than 20,000. Three major roadways pass through DeKalb.

During the school year, DeKalb has an influx of many visitors which attend university sporting events. Due to the proximity to Chicago and the Chicago suburbs, DeKalb has an influx of weekly visitors.

Due the large daily flow of traffic throughout the village, the city of DeKalb has an issue with traffic crashes and traffic violations. To try to combat this problem the DeKalb Police Department was awarded a TLEP grant to increase traffic patrols on major roadways that experience large numbers of traffic crashes.

The total expenditures for the DeKalb TLEP project (Project #OP9-1435-094) during its second year of funding totaled \$130,254.18.

Police Services

The DeKalb Police Department employs 62 sworn police officers. Of these officers, a total of 47 officers are assigned to the Patrol Division. The department has ten marked squad cars, one plain car, and two motorcycles.

Project Evaluation

The Traffic Law Enforcement Program included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to patrol 3,120

patrol during FY09 devoting more than 20 patrol hours per week

to high crash road segments.

Accomplishment: The DeKalb Police Department met the weekly patrol hours

objective during FY09 by averaging 43.5 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to make an

average of one contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The DeKalb Police Department met this objective by making one

motorist contact for every 43.8 minutes of patrol (2,262.5 patrol

hours divided by 3,102 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to issue a

minimum of 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint

violations.

Accomplishment: The DeKalb Police Department met this objective by issuing 34.9

percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 1,073 safety belt violations and 9 child restraint violations divided

by 3,102 total citations multiplied by 100 percent).

Objective 4: The DeKalb Police Department shall be required to issue no more

than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations.

Accomplishment: The DeKalb Police Department issued 1,239 speeding citations

out of 3,102 citations resulting in a speeding citation percentage of

39.9 percent.

Project Overview:

The DeKalb Police Department was issued a TLEP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on specific traffic violations, namely occupant restraint and speeding.

The DeKalb Police Department was required to meet four objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes, issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations, and issue less than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations. The DeKalb Police Department met all four objectives during fiscal year 2009.

The department met the weekly patrol hours objective by conducting 43.5 patrol hours per week. The department provided a strong effort focusing on occupant restraint violations by issuing 34.9 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations. The department met the speeding violations objective by issuing just 39.9 percent of all citations for speeding violations. Plus, the department maintained an efficient motorist contact rate by making one contact for every 43.8 minutes of patrol.

Table 3: DeKalb TLEP Project Summary Data

FY09 TLEP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: <u>DeKalb PD</u> FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2008</u> GRANT # <u>OP9-1435-094</u> TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2009</u>

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	49	134	90	178	161	186	125	56	47	83	51	79	1239
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	1	3	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	11
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	0	1	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	10
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	3	4	6	4	5	5	0	2	0	2	1	1	33
5. FOLLOWING TO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	0	2	2	5	1	3	0	2	1	1	4	1	22
7. DUI	0	1	2	5	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	14
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	1	4	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	13
9. SWORN REPORT	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	0	3	6	2	7	7	2	5	1	2	1	5	41
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	54	147	115	196	182	207	136	69	49	88	59	86	1388
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	3	5	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	15
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	124	91	97	99	115	84	28	85	95	69	78	108	1073
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	0	9
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	21	57	56	67	85	107	45	36	22	35	37	49	617
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	145	153	158	167	203	193	73	123	120	105	116	158	1714
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	199	300	273	363	385	400	209	192	169	193	175	244	3102
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	199	269	268	375	377	649	233	207	180	196	178	241	3372

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	199	300	273	363	385	400	209	192	169	193	175	244	3102
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	199	269	268	375	377	649	233	207	180	196	178	241	3372
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	0	1	2	5	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	13
TOTAL TLEP HOURS	130.0	177.0	221.0	284.0	296.0	327.0	234.0	175.0	138.0	152.0	142.0	200.0	2,476.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	117.0	164.0	205.0	271.0	284.0	312.0	172.0	161.0	125.0	137.0	128.0	186.5	2,262.5
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	13.0	11.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	15.0	62.0	14.0	13.0	15.0	14.0	13.5	207.5
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	86	101	114	126	92	59	72	71	59	62	80	72	994
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	11	12	12	8	4	9	11	9	9	14	7	11	117

FY09 TLEP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol 30% or greater for restraint violations varies by project
Less than 50% of all citations

OBJECTIVES:

CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 43.8 mins.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 34.9 %
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 43.5 hrs.
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 39.9 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 40.3 mins. AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 188.5 hrs. **AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS** 258.5 AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0 AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 281.0 CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 0.9 **DUI RATE** 174.0 hrs. ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 50.3 hrs. AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 0.5 hrs.

STEPHENSON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Stephenson County is located in the northwestern part of the state of Illinois. The county covers a land area of approximately 640 square miles. The population of Stephenson County is 48,979 with the largest city being Freeport which has a population greater than 26,000 residents. Stephenson County is relatively close to Galena which is host to numerous visitors throughout the year due to its various historic sites and winter activities.

Due to the county's proximity to Galena, the county has seen an increase of traffic flow over the years due to the increase in motorists who visit the Galena area. Along with the increase in traffic flow, the incidents of aggressive driving and traffic crashes have increased. The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office tries to devote some of its time to dealing with these incidents, but the amount of time and effort devoted to traffic patrol is limited due to the officers' other duties and limited funding.

To improve traffic conditions, the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a traffic law enforcement project (TLEP) grant so they would have the funding to support a unit whose sole responsibility was traffic enforcement.

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office was awarded a TLEP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high volume of crashes in the community.

The total expenditures for the Stephenson County TLEP project (Project #OP9-0089-116) in the second year of funding totaled \$153,830.72.

Police Services

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office currently employs the Sheriff, the Chief Deputy, and administrative sergeant, 3 investigators, 3 court security deputies, 2 deputies contracted from the local community college, one civil process server, and 14 local deputies. Each deputy assigned to patrol, investigation, and administration is assigned a take home vehicle. The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office assigned two deputies, a part-time supervisor, and a part-time clerk to the patrol unit.

Project Evaluation

The Stephenson County TLEP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments for the project were as follows:

Objective 1: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office will assign two full-time

deputies to the patrol division. Each position will work 2,080 hours throughout the year for 4,160 total hours throughout the year (or

an average of 80 hours per week).

Accomplishment: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this

objective by only conducting 1,683.5 patrol hours throughout the

year.

Objective 2: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office will be required to make

one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

making one contact for every 44.3 minutes of patrol (1,683.5 patrol hours divided by 2,279 total citations multiplied by 60

minutes).

Objective 3: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office shall be required to issue

more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint

violations.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

issuing 30.8 percent of all their citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 669 safety belt violations and 34 child restraint violations divided by 2,279 citations multiplied by 100

percent).

Objective 4: The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office will be required to issue

no more than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

issuing exactly 50 percent of their citations for speeding violations (1,145 speeding citations divided by 2,279 total citations multiplied

by 100 percent).

Project Overview:

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office was issued a TLEP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on specific traffic violations, namely occupant restraint and speeding.

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office was required to meet four objectives: work 80 hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue more than 30% of all citations for occupant restraint violations, and issue less than 50% of all citations for speeding violations. The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office met three of the four objectives.

The sheriff's office provided a strong effort focusing on occupant restraint violations by issuing 30.8 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations. The department met the speeding violations objective by issuing 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations. Plus, the department maintained an efficient motorist contact rate by making one contact for every 44.3 minutes of patrol.

The only objective the Stephenson County Sheriff's Office did not meet was the patrol hours objective by conducting only 32.4 hours per week..

Table 4: Stephenson County TLEP Project Summary Data

FY09 TLEP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: <u>Stephenson Co.</u> FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2008</u> GRANT # <u>OP9-0089-116</u> TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2009</u>

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	89	155	102	75	81	106	78	72	79	98	103	107	1145
2. FAIL TO YIELD	6	2	1	2	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	0	18
3. FAIL TO STOP	10	0	2	5	11	5	0	4	0	8	11	3	59
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	9	1	1	0	16
5. FOLLOWING TO CLOSE	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	1	4
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	0	2	4	1	0	3	0	•		0	0	4	17
7. DUI	1	2	2	1	0	3	2	3	1	2	3	2	22
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	6	5	3	4	0	12	3	18	9	8	5	5	78
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	112	167	117	90	92	131	85	100	101	118	125	122	1360
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	3	0	0	7	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	15
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	27	62	33	15	47	73	72	65	63	71	68	73	669
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	2	2	1	1	1	2	3	3	2	7	8	2	34
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	18	13	19	19	9	40	6	19	12	15	21	10	201
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	47	80	53	35	64	116	81	88	78	93	98	86	919
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	159	247	170	125	156	247	166	188	179	211	223	208	2279
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	165	256	146	106	156	202	169	179	172	194	205	216	2166

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	159	247	170	125	156	247	166	188	179	211	223	208	2279
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	165	256	146	106	156	202	169	179	172	194	205	216	2166
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	1	2	2	1	0	3	2	3	1	2	3	2	22
TOTAL TLEP HOURS	332.5	340.5	310.0	374.5	303.0	342.5	324.5	279.0	251.5	278.0	341.5	293.0	3770.5
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	108.5	161.5	107.5	101.0	134.5	169.5	134.0	135.0	138.0	158.5	173.5	162.0	1683.5
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	224.0	179.0	202.5	273.5	168.5	173.0	190.5	144.0	113.5	119.5	168.0	131.0	2087.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	56	84	77	66	34	32	20	46	32	18	26	32	523
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	13	14	106	7	3	7	4	10	14	16	8	8	210

FY09 TLEP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol 30% or greater for restraint violations varies by project

Less than 50% of all citations

OBJECTIVES:

CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)

OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE

AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

44.3 mins.

30.8 %

32.4 hrs.

50.2 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 46.6 mins. 140.3 hrs. AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS **AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS** 189.9 **AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS** 0.0 AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 180.5 CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.1 **DUI RATE** 76.5 hrs. ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 44.3 hrs. AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 0.0 hrs.

WINNEBAGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Winnebago County is located in 75 miles northwest of Chicago. The county covers a land area of approximately 514 square miles. The population of Winnebago County is 278,418 with the largest city being Rockford which has a population greater than 150,000 residents. Winnebago County is host to numerous attractions and seasonal activities throughout the year which include the Sportscore Complex/Indoor Sports Center, Rock Cut State Park, Magic Waters Water Park, the Waterfront Festival, and the Fourth of July celebration.

Due to being host to these attractions and events, the county has a high influx of traffic flow throughout the year due to the motorists who visit the area. As the traffic flow increases each year, the incidents of crashes and other aggressive driving behaviors have increased. In prior years, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office has been awarded IMAGE and Speed grants to combat these negative driving behaviors.

To continue to improve traffic conditions, the Winnebago County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a traffic law enforcement project (TLEP) grant so they would be able to devote more time and effort to traffic enforcement.

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office was awarded a TLEP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high volume of crashes in the community.

The total expenditures for the Winnebago County TLEP project (Project #OP9-0101-210) in the second year of funding totaled \$258,861.85.

Police Services

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office currently employs 105 sworn officers assigned to the patrol division. There are two full-time officers assigned to the patrol division's traffic unit. These officers are responsible for enforcing traffic laws and investigating traffic crashes within the county.

Project Evaluation

The Winnebago County TLEP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments for the project were as follows:

Objective 1: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office will conduct up to 30 patrol

hours per week from the selected high-crash segments.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

conducting an average of 51.6 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office will be required to make

one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this

objective by making one contact for every 68.9 minutes of patrol

(2,679 patrol hours divided by 2,332 total citations multiplied by 60

minutes).

Objective 3: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office shall be required to issue

more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint

violations.

Accomplishment: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this

objective by issuing only 7.1 percent of all of their citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 132 safety belt violations

and 34 child restraint violations divided by 2,332 citations

multiplied by 100 percent).

Objective 4: The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office will be required to issue no

more than 50 percent of all citations for speeding violations.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office issued 656 speeding

citations out of a total of 2,332 citations resulting in 28.1 percent of

all citations issued for speeding violations.

Project Overview:

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office was issued a TLEP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on specific traffic violations, namely occupant restraint and speeding.

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office was required to meet four objectives: conduct 30 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue more than 30% of all citations for occupant restraint violations, and issue less than 50% of all citations for speeding violations. The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office met two of the four objectives.

The sheriff's office met the speeding violations percentage by only issuing 28.1 percent of all of their citations for speeding violations. The sheriff's office also met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 51.6 patrol hours per week.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to maintain an efficient motorist contact rate by only making one motorist contact for every 68.9 minutes of patrol. Furthermore, the sheriff's office failed to meet the occupant restraint violation objective by issuing only 7.1 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations.

Table 5: Winnebago County TLEP Project Summary Data

FY09 TLEP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: <u>Winnebago Co.</u> FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2008</u> GRANT # <u>OP9-0101-210</u> TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2009</u>

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	46	61	39	47	52	56	38	64	65	61	48	79	656
2. FAIL TO YIELD	2	0	5	5	0	4	4	7	8	7	1	4	47
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	2	7	0	5	11	2	4	6	8	4	10	60
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	10	3	5	7	4	6	6	9	1	3	0	3	57
5. FOLLOWING TO CLOSE	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	7
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	2	3	11	9	8	5		9	9	6	9	8	86
7. DUI	2	1	10	6	8	10	8	10	8	11	11	14	99
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	3	0	4	5	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	5	23
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	5	6	10	18	16	22	18	22	21	30	11	30	209
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	71	77	91	97	94	114	84	128	118	130	87	153	1244
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	1	0	0	0	2	5	2	0	2	5	2	5	24
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	2	4	7	18	4	23	17	9	9	16	13	10	132
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	1	2	4	3	5	6	3	1	3	3	3	34
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	40	34	43	71	83	71	95	99	81	91	84	106	898
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	43	39	52	93	92	104	120	111	93	115	102	124	1088
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	114	116	143	190	186	218	204	239	211	245	189	277	2332
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	79	97	131	168	216	237	190	254	220	247	151	263	2253

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	114	116	143	190	186	218	204	239	211	245	189	277	2332
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	79	97	131	168	216	237	190	254	220	247	151	263	2253
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	2	1	10	6	8	10	8	10	8	11	11	14	99
TOTAL TLEP HOURS	320.0	264.0	223.0	265.0	243.0	316.0	292.0	340.0	284.0	293.0	163.0	326.0	3329.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	190.0	167.0	167.0	217.0	207.0	273.0	232.0	287.0	233.0	261.0	142.0	303.0	2679.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	130.0	97.0	56.0	48.0	36.0	43.0	60.0	53.0	51.0	32.0	21.0	23.0	650.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	117	126	158	169	109	89	101	125	102	93	106	95	1390
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	31	17	32	31	24	24	27	23	30	35	28	22	324

FY09 TLEP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol 30% or greater for restraint violations varies by project
Less than 50% of all citations

OBJECTIVES:

CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 68.9 mins.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 7.1 %
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 51.6 hrs.
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 28.1 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 71.3 mins. AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 223.3 hrs. **AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS** 194.3 AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0 AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 187.8 CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.0 **DUI RATE** 27.1 hrs. ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 18.3 hrs. AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 0.0 hrs.

TLEP PROJECT EVALUATIONS WITH MULTIPLE YEARS OF FUNDING

The three TLEP projects have received funding for multiple years. All of the projects were in their second year of funding.

The following materials provide information on the TLEP projects that were funded for the last several years (see Table 6).

DeKalb

The DeKalb Police Department has had a TLEP project for the past two years. The department maintained a good contact rate for both years by issuing better than one citation for every 44 minutes of patrol. The department has been very active in the pursuit of occupant restraint violators. The department has met the occupant restraint violations percentage objective each year by issuing more than 34 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. The department has not exceeded the speeding violations percentage during its two years of funding. This has shown that the department has been active in the pursuit of violations other than speeding. Finally, the department met the weekly patrol hours objective for both years by averaging 34.7 patrol hours per week in FY08 and 43.5 patrol hours per week in FY09.

Stephenson County

The Stephenson County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a TLEP project for the previous two years. The department made significant improvements from their first year of funding. The sheriff's office has maintained a good contact rate during both years of funding by issuing better than one citation for every 47 minutes of patrol. Regarding the occupant restraint violations objective, the sheriff's office failed to meet the objective in the first year of funding by only issuing 19.7 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. The sheriff's office rebounded in the second year of funding by issuing 30.8 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. Regarding the speeding citations objective, the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective in the first year of funding by issuing more than 65 percent of its citations for speeding violations. During the second year of funding, the sheriff's office met this objective by issuing 50 percent of its citations for speeding violations. This effort shows that Stephenson County Sheriff's Office was active in the pursuit of citations other than speeding violations. While the department increased its weekly patrol hours objective during FY09, it still failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective.

Winnebago County

The Winnebago County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a TLEP project for the previous two years. The department has met the same two objectives each year. The department met the weekly patrol hours objective and the speeding citations objective each year. The department was required to conduct 30 weekly patrol hours both years and met this objective by averaging 38.4 weekly patrol hours during FY08 and 51.6 weekly patrol hours during FY09. The department has consistently kept their speeding citations percentage around 30 percent. This shows that the department has been active in pursuing other violations. Although the department met the weekly patrol hours objective and the speeding citations percentage, the department has failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective and the occupant restraint percentage objective. While the department did not meet the motorist contact rate objective during either year of funding, the department did make a significant improvement during the second year of funding by averaging one citation for every 68.9 minutes of patrol. The

department has only managed to issue around 7 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations during both years of funding. The department needs to be more active in the pursuit of occupant restraint violators in future years of funding.

Table 6: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding - TLEP

				Р	roject Obje	ectives			
Location	chedule /week	Motorist Co Citations &	ontact Rate Warnings	•	t Restraint entage	Cita	eding tions entage	Number of Months of Project Data	
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	
DeKalb									
FY09	> 20.0	43.5	< 60 min.	43.8 min.	> 30.0	34.9	< 50.0	39.9	12
FY08	> 20.0	34.7	< 60 min.	44.2 min.	> 30.0	34.3	< 50.0	32.2	12
Stephenson County									
FY09	> 80.0	32.4	< 60 min.	44.3 min.	> 30.0	30.8	< 50.0	50.2	12
FY08	> 80.0	41.6	< 60 min.	47.5 min.	> 30.0	19.7	< 50.0	64.5	12
Winnebago County									
FY09	> 30.0	51.6	< 60 min.	68.9 min.	> 30.0	7.1	< 50.0	28.1	12
FY08	> 30.0	38.4	< 60 min.	93.2 min.	> 30.0	6.8	< 50.0	29.8	12

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

Evaluation of the FY09 Local Alcohol Program (LAP) Projects in Illinois

LAP EVALUATION SUMMARY

The Division of Traffic Safety's Local Projects Section funded fourteen Local Alcohol Program (LAP) projects during Fiscal Year 2009. The LAP projects were awarded to Buffalo Grove, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Elgin, Macon County, Robinson, Sangamon County, Skokie, Springfield, St. Clair County, Waukegan, Wheeling, and Will County. The evaluations of the fourteen enforcement grants were based on the 2009 enforcement data provided in the monthly progress reports (see **Tables 8 through 21**). The following is a list of the criteria which were used to measure the progress made by each LAP project listed in this section:

- 1. Actual Patrol Hours
- 2. Motorist contact rate (citations/written warnings)
- 3. DUI arrest rate
- 4. Alcohol-related contact rate
- 5. DUI processing rate
- 6. Occupant restraint violations percentage

The program cost for all LAP projects during FY09 was \$1,533,364.55. The total amount spent on enforcement, which includes personal services, fringe benefits, and social security, was \$1,430,093.45. The remaining \$162,466.76 was allocated for equipment, mileage, and conference travel (to traffic enforcement conferences). The average cost per hour was \$55.98 (\$1,430,093.45 divided by 25,552.3 total project hours). The approximate amount of funding spent on actual enforcement was \$1,243,651.68 (the sum of 17,317 enforcement hours and 4,899 DUI processing hours multiplied by \$55.98 per hour). The average cost of a citation was \$54.83 (\$1,243,651.68 divided by 22,681 citations).

LAP PROJECTS OVERVIEW SECTION

(Please refer to **Table 7** for the following section)

Patrol Hours

The Patrol Hours objective is defined as those hours which are spent pursuing traffic violations plus those hours dedicated to processing DUI arrests.

As shown in **Table 7**, the Buffalo Grove Police Department, the Chicago Police Department, the Skokie Police Department, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office, and the Wheeling Police Department met this objective. The remaining nine projects (Chicago Heights PD, Cook County Sheriff's Office, Elgin PD, Macon County Sheriff's Office, Sangamon County Sheriff's Office, Springfield PD, Waukegan PD, and Will County Sheriff's Office) did not meet their patrol hours objective.

Motorist contact rate

The motorist contact rate indicates how active the enforcement agencies were in the pursuit of traffic violations.

Table 7 shows that ten of the fourteen projects (Buffalo Grove, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Elgin, Robinson, Skokie, Waukegan, Wheeling, and Will County) met this objective. These projects ranged from making one contact for every 20.2 minutes of patrol (Chicago) to making one contact for every 54.3 minutes of patrol (Buffalo Grove).

The Macon County Sheriff's Office, Sangamon County Sheriff's Office, Springfield Police Department, and St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by averaging more than 60 minutes of patrol between contacts. Of these four projects, only the Macon County Sheriff's Office was close to meeting this objective.

30 Percent Occupant Restraint Violations

This objective emphasizes the enforcement of both occupant protection laws (safety belt and child safety seats). As shown in **Table 7**, only the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to meet this objective. It was required to issue more than 25 percent of its citations for occupant violations, but only issued 6.5 percent.

DUI Arrest Rate

All of the LAP projects are required to pursue and arrest individuals who are driving under the influence. All of the projects, except St. Clair County, had to make one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was required to make one DUI arrest for every eight patrol hours.

The eleven projects which met this objective included Buffalo Grove (one DUI arrest for every 6.2 patrol hours), Chicago Heights (one DUI arrest for every 5.6 patrol hours), Cook County (one DUI arrest for every 3.6 patrol hours), Elgin (one DUI arrest for every 3.1 patrol hours), Macon County (one DUI arrest for every 7.2 patrol hours), Sangamon County (one DUI arrest for every 5.8 patrol hours), Springfield (one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours), St. Clair County (one DUI arrest for every 7.4 patrol hours), Waukegan (one DUI arrest for every 4.8 patrol hours), Wheeling (one DUI arrest for every 9.8 patrol hours), and Will County (one DUI arrest for every 7.7 patrol hours).

The three projects which failed to meet this objective included Chicago (one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours), Robinson (one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours), and Skokie (one DUI arrest for every 18.8 patrol hours).

Alcohol-Related Contact Rate

The Alcohol-Related Contact Rate is also a requirement of the LAP enforcement project specifications. All of the projects were required to make one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol. This objective focuses on those violations that may lead to driver impairment, such as illegal transportation, illegal possession by a minor, drug related offenses, and zero tolerance.

The nine projects which met this objective included Buffalo Grove, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Elgin, Macon County, Sangamon County, St. Clair County, Waukegan, and Will County. The alcohol-related contact rates for these six projects ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 1.7 patrol hours (Elgin) to one contact made for every 5.9 patrol hours (Will County).

The five projects which failed to meet this objective included Chicago (one contact made for every 14.8 patrol hours), Robinson (one contact made for every 6.4 patrol hours), Skokie (one contact made for every 11.5 patrol hours), Springfield (one contact made for every 6.4 patrol hours), and Wheeling (one contact for every 5.9 patrol hours).

DUI Processing Rate

All fourteen projects had to have a DUI processing time of two hours or less. Ten of the fourteen projects met this objective (Buffalo Grove, Chicago Heights, Cook County, Elgin, Macon County, Robinson, Skokie, Springfield, Waukegan, and Will County). Chicago, Sangamon County, St. Clair County, and Wheeling failed to meet this objective.

Other Monitored Data

BAC Data

During FY09, there were 2,429 individuals arrested for DUI. The average blood alcohol level (BAC) of those individuals who agreed to be tested was 0.1520.

Vehicles Stopped Contact Rate

Although the Vehicles Stopped Contact Rate is not an objective in the LAP specifications, the Evaluation Unit monitors these data to measure enforcement activity. Over the 17,317 patrol hours, the officers stopped a total of 22,704 vehicles, resulting in a vehicle stop rate of one for every 45.8 minutes.

25

Table 7: Fiscal Year 2009 LAP Overview

	Project Objectives														
Location (Population)	Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		DUI Processing Time Per DUI		Occupant Restraint Percentage		Number of Months of Project	Total Expenditures	Years of Enforcement Funding
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	Data		
Buffalo Grove (42,909)	> 25.0	34.6	< 60 min.	54.3	< 10.0 hrs.	6.2	< 6.0 hrs.	5.4	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 113,440.00	4
Chicago (2,896,016)	> 36.0	36.1	< 60 min.	20.2	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8	< 6.0 hrs.	14.8	< 2.0 hrs.	3.7	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 249,766.39	15
Chicago Heights (32,776)	> 20.0	8.9	< 60 min.	51.3	< 10.0 hrs.	5.6	< 6.0 hrs.	4.9	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 21,482.34	6
Cook Co. (5,294,664)	> 20.0	13.8	< 60 min.	38.7	< 10.0 hrs.	3.6	< 6.0 hrs.	1.7	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	10	\$ 32,539.15	1
Elgin (94,487)	> 35.0	24.5	< 60 min.	24.1	< 10.0 hrs.	3.1	< 6.0 hrs.	2.3	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 84,864.70	4
Macon Co. (114,706)	> 35.0	29.9	< 60 min.	69.7	< 10.0 hrs.	7.2	< 6.0 hrs.	4.2	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 79,186.00	2
Robinson (6,822)	> 20.0	10.9	< 60 min.	45.4	< 10.0 hrs.	11.4	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8	N/A	N/A	5	\$ 16,112.04	1
Sangamon Co. (188,951)	> 31.7	28.5	< 60 min.	90.2	< 10.0 hrs.	5.8	< 6.0 hrs.	5.0	< 2.0 hrs.	2.6	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 104,967.87	13
Skokie (63,348)	> 24.0	37.1	< 60 min.	34.6	< 10.0 hrs.	18.8	< 6.0 hrs.	11.5	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 122,199.06	3
Springfield (111,454)	> 60.0	53.1	< 60 min.	166.9	< 10.0 hrs.	8.5	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 136,891.61	4
St. Clair Co. (256,082)	> 43.8	52.0	< 60 min.	82.6	< 8.0 hrs.	7.4	< 6.0 hrs.	5.1	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2	> 25.0	6.5	11	\$ 165,090.73	5
Waukegan (86,432)	> 80.0	48.8	< 60 min.	52.2	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 165,932.61	6
Wheeling (35,924)	> 36.0	38.5	< 60 min.	38.2	< 10.0 hrs.	9.8	< 6.0 hrs.	8.1	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 113,543.97	1
Will County (502,266)	> 40.0	24.2	< 60 min.	36.7	< 10.0 hrs.	7.7	< 6.0 hrs.	5.9	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9	N/A	N/A	12	\$ 127,348.18	5
Lap Program Summary			< 60 min.	45.8	< 10.0 hrs.	7.1	< 6.0 hrs.	5.3	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1	N/A	N/A		\$1,533,364.65	

Bold, highlighted items indicate objectives that have been met.

LAP PROJECT EVALUATION SECTION

This section provides the following materials for each LAP project:

- 1) Brief Background
- 2) Project Cost
- 3) Project Evaluation
 - a. Proposed Objectives
 - b. Accomplishments
 - c. Brief Conclusion
 - d. Enforcement Data and Information

BUFFALO GROVE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The village of Buffalo Grove, located 29 miles northwest of Chicago, encompasses approximately nine square miles and has about 135 miles of roadway. Four major roadways (Interstate 294, U.S. Route 45, Illinois Route 53 and Illinois Route 83) pass through Buffalo Grove. The population of Buffalo Grove totals 42,909.

Being located between two major highways, there is a great amount of commuter traffic within the village. In addition to all of the through traffic, there are two Metra train stations and a large industrial park. Also, Buffalo Grove has large volumes of traffic due to the closeness to the Arlington International Racetrack, several shopping malls, and large recreational areas. Due to the large volumes of traffic in and around the community, there are a large number of traffic crashes and traffic violations which occur in the village.

The Buffalo Grove Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY09 to provide the department with extra funding to deal with the large volume of traffic crashes and traffic violations, especially alcohol-related and DUI offenses.

The total expenditures for the Buffalo Grove LAP project (Project #AL9-0725-096) in its fourth year of funding totaled \$113,440.00. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$103,740.00.

Police Services

The Buffalo Grove Police Department currently employs 71 sworn officers with the largest component of the department being in the patrol division. The department accomplishes these tasks through the use of squad cars, police motorcycles, RADAR and LIDAR units.

Project Evaluation

The Buffalo Grove LAP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments for the project were as follows:

Objective 1: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will select an officer to work

35 hours a week to city-wide patrolling of the determined high

crash areas.

Accomplishment: The Buffalo Grove Police Department met the weekly patrol hours

objective by conducting 34.6 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to make at

least one traffic contact for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Buffalo Grove Police Department met this objective by

making one contact for every 54.3 minutes of patrol (1,360.5 patrol hours divided by 1,503 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to write one

DUI for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Buffalo Grove Police Department met this objective by

averaging one DUI arrest for every 6.2 patrol hours (1,360.5 patrol

hours divided by 218 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Buffalo Grove Police Department met this objective by

making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.4 patrol hours (1,360.5 patrol hours divided by the sum of 218 DUI citations, 24 illegal transportation citations, 2 sworn report citations, and 9 other

alcohol-related citations).

Objective 5: The Buffalo Grove Police Department will be required to maintain

a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Buffalo Grove Police Department met this objective by

averaging a processing time of 2.0 hours for each DUI arrest

(438.0 hours processing DUIs divided by 218 DUIs).

Project Overview:

The Buffalo Grove Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding for enhanced enforcement with a focus on alcohol-related violations.

The Buffalo Grove Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain an average DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Buffalo Grove Police Department met all five of its objectives during fiscal year 2009.

The department made one DUI arrest for every 6.2 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 5.4 patrol hours. The department averaged 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest. Plus, the department met the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact (citation/written warning) for every 54.3 minutes of patrol. Finally, the Buffalo Grove Police Department met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 34.6 patrol hours per week.

Table 8: Buffalo Grove LAP Project Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: <u>Buffalo Grove PD</u> GRANT # <u>AL9-0725-096</u> FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	21	42	33	43	52	68	47	46	18	20	48	80	518
2. FAIL TO YIELD	7	12	8	15	13	22	19	0	0	0	1	0	97
3. FAIL TO STOP	3	10	6	10	8	12	8	1	1	0	1	0	60
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	3	5	3	12	9	8	5	5	1	1	2	2	56
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	1	6	2	8	6	9	9	0	1	1	1	3	47
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	4	8	6	9	12	7	6	17	14	3	18	19	123
7. DUI	25	25	15	17	16	32	25	12	10	9	15	17	218
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	3	2	4	5		1	0	1	0	3	1	24
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	0	2
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	3	8	5	13	11	3	4	18	1	1	5	7	79
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	69	119	80	131	132	163	124	99	48	36	94	129	1224
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	9
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	5	8	4	14	12	23	14	6	1	3	1	3	94
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	2	8	3	9	9	8	5	26	23	19	23	36	171
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	7	18	8	25	23	35	20	32	25	22	25	39	279
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	76	137	88	156	155	198	144	131	73	58	119	168	1503
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	71	84	58	64	58	61	52	84	46	29	80	139	826

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	76	137	88	156	155	198	144	131	73	58	119	168	1503
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	71	84	58	64	58	61	52	84	46	29	80	139	826
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	25	25	15	17	16	32	25	12	10	9	15	17	218
TOTAL LAP HOURS	222.0	263.0	126.0	157.0	147.0	229.0	183.0	113.0	51.5	45.0	111.0	157.0	1804.5
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	50.0	50.0	30.0	34.0	32.0	64.0	50.0	26.0	20.0	18.0	30.0	34.0	438.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	172.0	213.0	96.0	123.0	115.0	165.0	133.0	87.0	31.5	27.0	75.0	123.0	1360.5
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	6.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.1127
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

varies by project

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE
6.6 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

98.8 mins.

149.9 hrs.

125.3

0.0

68.8

CITATIONS & WARNINGS

1.8

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

34.5 %

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT (Strikeforce)

<u>Background</u>

The city of Chicago, the third largest city in the United States, is the hub of the transportation network in the Midwest. The city's estimated population of 2.9 million is comprised of a multi-ethnic blend of people from almost every part of the world. Chicago is a leader in commercial, cultural and economic attractions throughout the United States. The city hosts an estimated 32 million visitors each year. Chicago is also the home of the world's busiest international airport, O'Hare.

Due to the large population and influx of visitors each year, Chicago has had many traffic-related problems, including alcohol-related driving violations, speeding violations, and occupant protection violations. The fear of fatal traffic crashed caused by impaired or reckless drivers is a concern throughout all the neighborhoods of Chicago. Traditional methods that expect officers to solve this problem through routine patrol efforts are limited.

In conjunction with their present driving enforcement programs, the Chicago Police Department has had a positive impact on bad driving behavior, but the department still needs to increase enforcement to curb alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Chicago Police Department requested funding for a Strikeforce program for FY09. These patrols will concentrate on locations throughout the city that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The project expenditures for the Chicago LAP project (Project #AL9-1051-142) for the fifteenth year of funding totaled \$249,766.39. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$224,830.85.

Police Services

The Chicago Police Department currently has approximately 12,000 sworn police officers. The Chicago Police Department has a full time Traffic Section staffed with 215 sworn members and 115 Traffic Control Aides.

Project Evaluation

The Chicago Strikeforce project included an enforcement component, plus alcoholrelated components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1:

The Chicago Police Department will conduct the strikeforce enforcement bi-monthly on Friday nights for a minimum of 6 hours (between 7:00 P.M. and 3:00 A.M.). There will be 13 officers assigned to one-man vehicles for these periods. Thus, the Chicago Police Department will be required to conduct a minimum of 1.872 patrol hours during FY09.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Chicago Police Department met this objective by conducting

the 1,874 hours (the sum of 1,496 patrol hours and 378 DUI

processing hours) during FY09.

Objective 2: The Chicago Police Department will be required to make one

motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Chicago Police Department met this objective by making one

motorist contact for every 20.2 minutes of patrol (1,496 patrol hours divided by 4,453 total citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Division of Traffic Safety sets the DUI performance objective

as making one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Chicago Police Department failed to meet this objective by

only averaging one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours (1,496

patrol hours divided by 101 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Division of Traffic Safety sets the alcohol-related contact rate

objective as making one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol

hours.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Chicago Police Department failed to meet this objective by

only averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 14.8 patrol hours (1,496 patrol hours divided by 101 DUI arrests). The department did not issue any citations for illegal transportation,

sworn report, or other alcohol-related citations.

Objective 5: The Chicago Police Department will be required to maintain a DUI

processing time of no more than 2 hours.

Accomplishment: The Chicago Police Department failed to meet this objective by

averaging 3.7 hours to process each DUI arrest (378 DUI

processing hours divided by 101 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Chicago Police Department was issued grant funding for Strikeforce Patrols for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Chicago Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 1,872 patrol hours during FY09, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or better. The Chicago Police Department met only two of the five objectives.

The two objectives the Chicago Police Department met were the weekly patrol hours objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The department was required to conduct 1,872 patrol hours during FY09 and met this objective by conducting 1,874 hours (the sum of 1,496 patrol hours and 378 DUI processing hours). The department

also met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 20.1 minutes of patrol.

The three objectives the Chicago Police Department failed to meet were the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the average DUI processing time objective. The Chicago Police Department only made one DUI arrest for every 14.8 patrol hours. Furthermore, the Chicago Police Department did not issue any other alcohol-related citations causing them to not meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective. Finally, the Chicago Police Department failed to meet the DUI processing objective by averaging 3.7 hours to process each DUI arrest.

Table 9: Chicago Strikeforce Project Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Chicago PD GRANT # AL9-1051-142 FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	34	50	18	44	5	14	11	23	8	38	7	23	275
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	27	11	7	10	12	4	19	17	1	7	14	18	147
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	10	29	13	0	6	13	18	18	4	7	2	13	133
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	9	15	5	9	7	7	8	8	0	3	0	5	76
7. DUI	12	19	6	8	6	13	5	6	3	10	8	5	101
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	289	481	265	0	111	0	0	0	0	138	83	207	1574
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	381	605	314	71	147	51	61	72	16	203	114	271	2306
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	13	37	15	14	8	12	3	32	2	12	17	45	210
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	31	6	1	4	1	2	4	4	0	1	3	4	61
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	156	212	23	313	55	179	157	162	96	111	149	263	1876
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	200	255	39	331	64	193	164	198	98	124	169	312	2147
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	581	860	353	402	211	244	225	270	114	327	283	583	4453
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	369	574	320	408	143	259	264	291	107	266	200	396	3597

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	581	860	353	402	211	244	225	270	114	327	283	583	4453
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	369	19	320	408	143	259	264	291	107	266	200	396	3042
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	12	19	6	8	6	13	5	6	3	10	8	5	101
TOTAL LAP HOURS	216.0	384.0	176.0	352.0	120.0	264.0	232.0	256.0	128.0	256.0	256.0	256.0	2896.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	78.0	134.0	56.0	16.0	12.0	26.0	10.0	12.0	6.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	378.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	138.0	250.0	120.0	158.0	48.0	112.0	104.0	132.0	60.0	128.0	124.0	122.0	1496.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	180.0	60.0	126.0	118.0	112.0	62.0	118.0	124.0	124.0	1024.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.08	0.14	0.15	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.1272
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest One contact every 60 minutes of patrol varies by project OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 14.8 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 14.8 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 3.7 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 20.2 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 36.1 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 6.1 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

29.5 mins.

371.1

0.0

253.5

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

1.5

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

CHICAGO HEIGHTS POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The city of Chicago Heights is located just south of the city of Chicago within the southern section of Cook County. Its population numbers approximately 33,000 residents. Major roads located in and around the city include Lincoln Highway (U.S. Highway 30) and Interstate 394. Other highly traveled roads include Chicago Road (Route #1) and Halstead Street.

In the past, Chicago Heights has had problems with alcohol-related driving, but has been making strides to curb these behaviors. The city has been able to employ increased enforcement to focus on this issue through the use of state and federal funds. Also, the money from these grants has been used to purchase training, education, and media (such as billboards) which increase awareness of alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Chicago Heights Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY08. These patrols will concentrate on locations throughout the city that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations, including Lincoln Highway, Chicago Road, and Halstead Street.

The total expenditures for the Chicago Heights LAP project (Project #AL9-1055-115) in the sixth year of funding totaled \$21,482.34. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$19,483.73.

Police Services

The Chicago Heights Police Department is presently comprised of 78 sworn personnel and 28 civilian personnel. In 2002, the Department established a full-time Traffic Unit with one supervisor and two patrolmen.

Project Evaluation

The Chicago Heights LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcoholrelated components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to

conduct an average of 20 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: The Chicago Heights Police Department failed to meet this

objective by only averaging 8.9 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to

maintain a contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by

maintaining a motorist contact rate of one for every 51.3 minutes of patrol (343.0 patrol hours divided by 401 citations multiplied by

60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to make

one DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by

making one DUI arrest for every 5.6 patrol hours (343.0 patrol

hours divided by 61 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Chicago Heights Police Department will be required to make

one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by

making one alcohol-related contact for every 4.9 patrol hours (343.0 patrol hours divided by the sum of 61 DUI arrests and 9

illegal transportation violations).

Objective 5: The Chicago Heights Police Department will maintain a DUI

processing rate of no more than two hours.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Chicago Heights Police Department met this objective by

maintaining a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours (119.0 DUI

processing hours divided by 61 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Chicago Heights Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Chicago Heights Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Chicago Heights Police Department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact for every 51.3 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 5.6 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 4.9 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The only objective the Chicago Heights Police Department did not meet was the weekly patrol hours objective. The department averaged 8.9 patrol hours per week failing to meet the 20 patrol hours per week objective.

Table 10: Chicago Heights LAP Projects Summary Data FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Chicago Heights PD GRANT # <u>AL9-1055-115</u>

FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	15	10	9	12	9	11	8	7	2	4	2	2	91
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	0	2	0	1	1	3	5	0	0	0	1	14
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	6	3	5	6	1	1	0	7	1	3	0	1	34
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	4	1	2	1	2	1	5	0	1	2	0	0	19
7. DUI	11	8	5	7	8	3	8	5	2	3	1	0	61
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	1	9
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	9	0	1	1	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	7	26
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	46	22	24	29	23	19	30	30	6	12	5	12	258
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	21	21	11	21	14	7	17	11	3	7	1	3	137
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	25	21	11	21	14	7	17	13	3	7	1	3	143
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	71	43	35	50	37	26	47	43	9	19	6	15	401
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	61	28	39	39	23	31	35	37	5	23	10	20	351

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	71	43	35	50	37	26	47	43	9	19	6	15	401
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	61	8	39	39	23	31	35	37	5	23	10	20	331
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	11	8	5	7	8	3	8	5	2	3	1	0	61
TOTAL LAP HOURS	69.0	42.0	46.0	49.0	41.0	34.0	55.0	53.0	12.0	32.0	13.0	16.0	462.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	19.0	15.0	10.0	14.0	15.0	6.0	16.0	12.0	4.0	6.0	2.0	0.0	119.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	50.0	27.0	36.0	35.0	26.0	28.0	39.0	41.0	8.0	26.0	11.0	16.0	343.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.1555
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	102	95	152	110	90	75	90	118	93	78	95	87	1185
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	10	3	5	5	8	3	6	4	4	4	5	7	64

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours. One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours. Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest One contact every 60 minutes of patrol varies by project

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE	5.6 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	4.9 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)	51.3 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS	8.9 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE	1.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	62.2 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	38.5 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	33.4
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS	0.0
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	27.6
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.2
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	22.7 %

COOK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Cook County is located in the northeastern section of Illinois. It covers an area of more than 1,000 square miles. Almost 5.3 million people live in Cook County. Chicago is the largest city in Cook County with a population of almost 2.9 million people. Major roads located in and around the county include Interstates 55, 57, 80, 88, 90, 94, 190, 290, 294, and 355. Cook County also has numerous U.S. Highways and Illinois Routes which spread throughout the county.

Driving while intoxicated has been an issue in Cook County for many years. From 2004 through 2007, more than 120 alcohol-related driving fatalities occurred per year. More than one third of the total fatalities were alcohol-related during that time period. Furthermore, of those fatalities that were alcohol-related, more than 75 percent of those fatalities were over the legal limit of .08. To combat this problem, in recent years, the Cook County Sheriff's Office has received funding for enhanced enforcement from the Division of Traffic Safety. In 2008, not only was there a decrease in total fatalities, there was also a significant decrease in the total alcohol-related fatalities. Although major strides have been made to curb this behavior in Cook County, it still is a major issue.

The Cook County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY09. These patrols will concentrate on locations throughout the city that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The total expenditures for the Cook County Sheriff's Office project (Project #AL9-0016-183) in the first year of funding totaled \$32,539.15. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$29,908.70.

Police Services

The Cook County Sheriff's Office recently formed a DUI traffic unit comprised of three uniformed police officers whose job is to enforce traffic laws with a focus on alcohol-related driving.

Project Evaluation

The Cook County LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to conduct an

average of 20 hours of DUI patrol per week.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Cook County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by

only averaging 13.8 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to maintain a

contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining

a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 38.7

minutes of patrol (384.0 patrol hours divided by 595 citations

multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one DUI

arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one

DUI arrest for every 3.6 patrol hours (384.0 patrol hours divided by

106 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one

alcohol-related contact for every 1.7 patrol hours (384.0 patrol

hours divided by the sum of 106 DUI arrests, 26 illegal transportation violations, 97 sworn reports, and 2 other alcohol

related citations).

Objective 5: The Cook County Sheriff's Office will maintain a DUI processing

rate of no more than two hours.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Cook County Sheriff's Office met this objective by maintaining

a DUI processing time of 2.0 hours (212.0 DUI processing hours

divided by 106 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Cook County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Cook County Sheriff's Office was required to meet five objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every ten patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every six patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of two hours or less. The Cook County Sheriff's Office met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact for every 38.7 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 3.6 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 1.7 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The only objective the Cook County Sheriff's Office did not meet was the weekly patrol hours objective. The department averaged 13.8 patrol hours per week failing to meet the 20 patrol hours per week objective.

Table 11: Cook County LAP Projects Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Cook County SO GRANT # AL9-0016-183 FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	\times	\times	3	5	7	2	2	4	4	4	11	10	52
2. FAIL TO YIELD	X	X	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	8
3. FAIL TO STOP	Х	X	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	2	9
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	X	X	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	X	\times	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	X	\times	1	8	7	3	4	10	4	2	13	9	61
7. DUI	X	\times	4	10	13	4	8	12	7	13	18	17	106
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	X	\times	1	1	3	1	1	4	1	3	7	4	26
9. SWORN REPORT	X	\times	3	10		4	8		7	13	18	10	97
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	X	\times	2	12	6	1	9	5	6	14	20	5	80
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	X	X	15	49	48	15	36	52	29	51	90	59	444
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	X	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	X	\times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	8
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	X	\times	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	X	\times	3	16	14	6	20	22	4	7	29	19	140
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	X	X	4	16	14	6	20	22	4	7	37	21	151
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	X	X	19	65	62	21	56	74	33	58	127	80	595
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	Х	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	Х	\times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	\times	\times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	\times	\times	15	22	26	10	12	16	14	18	unk	unk	133

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	\times	\times	19	65	62	21	56	74	33	58	127	80	595
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	Х	\times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	Х	\times	15	22	26	10	12	16	14	18	unk	unk	133
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	Х	\times	4	10	13	4	8	12	7	13	18	17	106
TOTAL LAP HOURS	Х	X	24.0	61.0	74.0	31.0	55.0	62.0	39.0	61.0	97.0	91.0	595.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	Х	\times	8.0	20.0	26.0	8.0	16.0	24.0	14.0	26.0	36.0	34.0	212.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	Х	\times	16.0	41.0	48.0	24.0	39.0	38.0	25.0	35.0	61.0	57.0	384.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	Х	\times	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BAC AVERAGE	Х	X	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.1269
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	\times	$>\!\!<$	280	280	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	560
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	Х	\times	64	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	128

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Requ	ired:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
varies by project
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE3.6 hrs.ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE1.7 hrs.AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME2.0 hrs.CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)38.7 mins.AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS13.8 hrs.OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE1.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

173.2 mins.

59.5

0.0

4.5

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

8.7 %

ELGIN POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The city of Elgin is the eighth largest city in Illinois with a population of 94,400. It is partially located in Cook County, the largest county in the state, and Kane County, the fifth largest county in the state. State Routes 31, 25 and 20, and Interstate 90 run through the city of Elgin. Being located in the northwest suburbs of Chicago, the city is host to several large-scale special events throughout the year.

Since 1995, the city of Elgin has been experiencing a growth in population, as well as an expansion of the city limits. With a large influx of people traveling in and out of the area, the roadways are very congested. This leads to a larger number of traffic violations and traffic crashes.

The city of Elgin has also had problems with alcohol-related violations and crashes. In the last five years, seventeen of the forty-eight traffic fatalities were alcohol-related. As recently as 2006/2007, the department made 297 DUI arrests. The city of Elgin is trying to combat these problems through increased enforcement and educational campaigns focusing on traffic safety.

The total expenditures for the Elgin LAP project (Project #AL9-1720-039) in its fourth year of funding totaled \$84,864.70. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$76,410.59.

Police Services

The Elgin Police Department employs 189 sworn officers and has 68 marked squad cars. Four of these officers are assigned to the Traffic Investigations Unit. Each of the four officers has been certified in the use and calibration of radar/laser detectors, installation and use of child safety seats, teaching seat belt courses and public speaking on drinking and driving.

Project Evaluation

The Elgin LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Elgin Police Department will be required to conduct an

average of 35 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: The Elgin Police Department failed to meet this objective by only

averaging 24.5 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Elgin Police Department will be required to maintain a contact

rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Elgin Police Department met this objective by maintaining a

motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 24.1 minutes of patrol (854.0 patrol hours divided by 2.127 citations multiplied

by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Elgin Police Department will be required to make one DUI

arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Elgin Police Department met this objective by making one

DUI arrest for every 3.1 patrol hours (854.0 patrol hours divided by

274 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Elgin Police Department will be required to make one alcohol-

related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Elgin Police Department met this objective by making one

alcohol-related contact for every 2.3 patrol hours (854.0 patrol

hours divided by the sum of 274 DUI arrests, 73 illegal

transportation violations, 13 sworn reports, and 9 other alcohol-

related citations).

Objective 5: The Elgin Police Department will maintain a DUI processing rate

of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: The Elgin Police Department met this objective by maintaining a

DUI processing time of 1.5 hours (418 DUI processing hours

divided by 274 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Elgin Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Elgin Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of 2 hours or less. The Elgin Police Department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 24.1 minutes of patrol. Also, the department provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 3.1 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 2.3 patrol hours. Also, the department maintained a low DUI processing time by averaging 1.5 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective by only averaging 24.5 patrol hours per week.

Table 12: Elgin LAP Projects Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: <u>Elgin PD</u> FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2008</u> GRANT # <u>AL9-1720-039</u> TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2009</u>

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	3	30	8	17	32	34	54	31	28	10	35	35	317
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	3	1	1	4	1	1	4	2	3	1	1	22
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	22	6	17	26	17	27	21	12	21	26	9	204
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	2	24	9	26	26	22	19	26	8	19	31	32	244
7. DUI	1	42	7	23	37	20	17	20	15	24	35	33	274
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	0	6	2	5	3	5	5	9	8	6	12	12	73
9. SWORN REPORT	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	3	1	1	0	3	13
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	3	12	7	11	25	17	8	17	17	14	31	26	188
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	9	140	40	101	155	117	131	132	91	99	171	151	1,337
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	9
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	2	13	11	10	11	8	9	9	12	6	8	17	116
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	2	1	1	3	2	0	1	2	5	2	3	22
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	7	63	28	54	80	40	45	48	46	70	69	93	643
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	9	78	40	66	96	50	54	58	60	81	83	115	790
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	18	218	80	167	251	167	185	190	151	180	254	266	2,127
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	unk	210	114	188	299	307	259	328	195	250	395	unk	2,545

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	18	218	80	167	251	167	185	190	151	180	254	266	2,127
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	unk	210	114	188	299	307	259	328	195	250	395	unk	2,545
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	1	42	7	23	37	20	17	20	15	24	35	33	274
TOTAL LAP HOURS	14.0	146.0	60.0	107.0	118.0	115.0	100.0	110.0	90.0	120.0	150.0	215.0	1,345.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	2.0	74.0	12.0	44.0	45.0	30.0	34.0	28.0	30.0	35.0	42.0	42.0	418.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	12.0	72.0	48.0	63.0	73.0	85.0	64.0	82.0	60.0	50.0	108.0	137.0	854.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	36.0	71.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.1598
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

varies by project

30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE3.1 hrs.ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE2.3 hrs.AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME1.5 hrs.CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)24.1 mins.AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS24.5 hrs.OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE6.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

20.1 mins.

106.0 hrs.

177.3

20.1 mins.

177.3

177.3

0.0

0.0

4VERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS

1212.1

1214.9 %

MACON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Macon County is located in central Illinois just east of the state capital, Springfield. Macon County encompasses 650 square miles and houses a population of more than 110,000. The largest city in Macon County is Decatur with a population of more than 85,000.

Macon County has had problems with alcohol-related violations and crashes. In 2007, the Macon County Sheriff's Office issued 220 DUI citations and 89 citations for illegal transportation of alcohol. To complicate this issue, there are 226 establishments throughout Macon County which have liquor licenses. The Macon County Sheriff's Office is currently only able to fund four deputies for full-time traffic enforcement. Due to the limited number of deputies that are dedicated to traffic enforcement and the amount of establishments with liquor licenses spread out across the county, it makes it very difficult for these deputies to pursue alcohol-related driving citations.

To work on alleviating the issue of alcohol-related driving in Macon County, the Macon County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a local alcohol project (LAP) grant so they would be able to devote more time and effort to deterring alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was awarded a LAP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high prevalence of alcohol-related driving within the county.

The total expenditures for the Macon County LAP project (Project #AL9-0058-138) in its second year of funding totaled \$79,186.00. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$46,254.00.

Police Services

The Macon County Sheriff's Office employs 50 deputies and 17 marked vehicles. Four of these officers are assigned to the traffic patrol unit. The patrol squads are equipped with in-car video cameras and PBT's. Along with these four officers, the LAP grant will allow the Macon County Sheriff's Office to assign a full-time experienced officer in the enforcement of alcohol traffic laws. Furthermore, it will increase the total departmental uniformed patrols to complement the traffic patrol unit.

Project Evaluation

The Macon County LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcoholrelated components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to conduct an

average of 35 hours of DUI patrol per week.

Accomplishment: The Macon County Sheriff's Office just failed to meet this objective

by averaging 29.9 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to maintain a

contact rate of one for every 60 minutes of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Macon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by

maintaining a motorist contact rate of one for every 69.7 minutes of patrol (1,289.0 patrol hours divided by 1,109 citations multiplied

by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one

DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Macon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making

one DUI arrest for every 7.2 patrol hours (1,289.0 patrol hours

divided by 179 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Macon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making

one alcohol-related contact for every 4.2 patrol hours (1,289.0 patrol hours divided by the sum of 179 DUI arrests, 59 illegal transportation violations, 1 sworn report, and 66 other alcohol-

related citations).

Objective 5: The Macon County Sheriff's Office will maintain a DUI processing

rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: The Macon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.5 hours (263 DUI

processing hours divided by 179 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Macon County Sheriff's Office was required to meet five objectives: conduct 35 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The Macon County Sheriff's Office met three of the five objectives.

The sheriff's office provided a strong effort pursuing DUI offenders and other alcohol-related violations. The sheriff's office averaged one DUI arrest for every 7.2 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 4.2 patrol hours. Furthermore, the sheriff's office maintained an efficient DUI processing time by averaging 1.5 hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The sheriff's office averaged 29.9 patrol hours per week just missing the weekly patrol hours objective. Furthermore, the sheriff's office only issued one citation for every 69.7 minutes of patrol.

Table 13: Macon County LAP Projects Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Macon County
GRANT # AL9-0058-138

FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	1	12	7	5	9	7	2	7	3	3	4	1	61
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	2	0	0	3	1	11
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	3	0	2	1	1	3	2	1	3	3	1	20
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	2	1	4	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	15
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	5
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	5	3	0	4	6	11	4	7	5	6	7	5	63
7. DUI	8	19	3	8	20	21	21	24	14	13	19	9	179
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	6	5	4	3	5		4	3	9	2	7	7	59
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	4	15	4	7	3	16	4	0	1	0	5	5	64
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	24	59	19	34	49	63	39	45	33	28	51	34	478
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	5	0	1	4	4	6	9	10	4	15	8	66
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	4	8	5	3	6	9	5	5	6	6	2	6	65
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	4	2	1	0	12
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	37	42	15	11	51	44	59	40	44	38	73	34	488
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	41	55	21	15	61	58	71	56	64	50	91	48	631
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	65	114	40	49	110	121	110	101	97	78	142	82	1109
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	33	60	36	30	84	56	55	68	54	58	66	56	656

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	65	114	40	49	110	121	110	101	97	78	142	82	1109
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	33	19	36	30	84	56	55	68	54	58	66	56	615
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	8	19	3	8	20	21	21	24	14	13	19	9	179
TOTAL LAP HOURS	172.0	145.0	54.0	151.0	158.0	153.0	141.0	159.0	133.0	140.0	144.0	122.0	1672.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	20.0	16.0	2.0	12.0	19.0	32.0	32.0	26.0	28.0	28.0	32.0	16.0	263.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	107.0	129.0	52.0	79.0	124.0	121.0	109.0	133.0	105.0	112.0	112.0	106.0	1289.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	45.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	120.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.14	0.23	0.14	0.24	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.13	0.1526
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	180	196	282	206	130	131	176	170	150	154	143	144	2062
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	49	58	44	51	40	43	39	52	47	46	46	50	565

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Requ	ired:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
varies by project
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE

7.2 hrs.
4.2 hrs.
6.9 frs.

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

125.8 mins.

125.8 mins

ROBINSON POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

Robinson is located in Crawford County which is situated on the southeastern side of the state of Illinois. Crawford County has a population of 20,452, while Robinson which is the county seat has a population of 6,822. Major roadways through Crawford County include State Route 1, State Route 33, and State Route 1A. Almost 170 million vehicle miles were travelled throughout Crawford County in 2008, which averages out to 465,408 vehicles miles travelled daily in the county.

Robinson has an issue with alcohol-related driving. Part of the issue is believed to stem from local companies which employ transient workers. These workers are temporarily stationed in Crawford County to work for a couple of major companies. These workers do not have much to keep them engaged in their off hours, as such, they may go to the local taverns and bars to pass the time. They will then drive back to their hotels while intoxicated, which increases the prevalence of alcohol-related driving in Crawford County. To illustrate this issue, from 2005 through 2008, there were 99 total crashes in the county where alcohol or drugs were a factor. Forty-one injuries occurred in these 99 crashes. This means that 41.4 percent of these alcohol-related crashes had an injury. In contrast to this, an average of eight percent of the total crashes in the county had an injury or fatality. Thus, alcohol-related driving behaviors in the county can lead to a higher risk of sustaining an injury.

To work on alleviating the issue of alcohol-related driving in Robinson and Crawford County, the Robinson Police Department, along with other local enforcement agencies, requested funding for a local alcohol project (LAP) grant so they would be able to devote more time and effort to deterring alcohol-related driving behaviors.

The Robinson Police Department was awarded a LAP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high prevalence of alcohol-related driving within the county.

The total expenditures for the Robinson LAP project (Project #AL9-4930-053) in its first year of funding totaled \$16,112.04. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$9,207.72.

Police Services

The Robinson Police Department has 14 sworn officers, Crawford County Sheriff's Office has 9 sworn officers, Palestine has 2 sworn officers, and Oblong has 1 sworn officer. Robinson Police Department has 8 patrol vehicles, while the other agencies have one patrol vehicle per officer.

Project Evaluation

The Robinson LAP project included an enforcement component, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Robinson Police Department and participating agencies will

be required to conduct an average of 20 hours of DUI patrol per

week.

Accomplishment: The Robinson Police Department failed to meet this objective by

averaging 10.9 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Robinson Police Department and participating agencies will

be required to maintain a contact rate of one for every 60 minutes

of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Robinson Police Department met this objective by

maintaining a motorist contact rate of one for every 45.4 minutes of patrol (193 patrol hours divided by 255 citations multiplied by 60

minutes).

Objective 3: The Robinson Police Department will be required to make one

DUI arrest for every ten hours of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Robinson Police Department failed to meet this objective by

making one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours (193 patrol

hours divided by 17 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Robinson Police Department will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every six hours of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Robinson Police Department failed to meet this objective by

making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours (193

patrol hours divided by the sum of 17 DUI arrests, 9 illegal transportation violations, 1 sworn report, and 3 other alcohol-

related citations).

Objective 5: The Robinson Police Department will maintain a DUI processing

rate of no more than two hours.

Accomplishment: The Robinson Police Department met this objective by

maintaining a DUI processing time of 1.8 hours (30 DUI

processing hours divided by 17 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Robinson Police Department and surrounding agencies were issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Robinson Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 20 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 hours of patrol, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of patrol, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The Robinson Police Department met two of the five objectives.

The police department maintained an efficient motorist contact rate by issuing one citation for every 45.4 minutes of patrol. Also, the department maintained an efficient DUI processing time by averaging 1.8 patrol hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The department only averaged 10.3 patrol hours per week. The department was very close to meeting the DUI arrest rate objective and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 11.4 patrol hours and the department averaged one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours.

Table 14: Robinson LAP Projects Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Robinson PD GRANT # AL9-4930-053 FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	12	\times	\times	\times	\times	28	\times	\times	27	\times	76	23	166
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	X	Х	Х	X	0	Х	X	0	Х	0	0	0
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	X	X	X	\times	1	X	X	1	\times	0	0	2
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	X	X	X	\times	0	X	X	0	\times	1	0	2
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	\times	\times	X	\sim	0	$>\!\!<$	\times	0	$>\!\!<$	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	1	\times	\times	X	\sim	1	\times	X	0	\times	5	1	8
7. DUI	2	$>\!\!<$	\times	\times	\sim	3	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	3	$>\!\!<$	5	4	17
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	\times	\times	X	\times	0	\times	\times	0	\times	5	2	9
9. SWORN REPORT	1	\times	\times	X	\times	0	X	\times	0	\times	0	0	1
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	1	\times	\times	X	\times	1	\times	\times	0	\times	0	1	3
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	20	\times	\times	X	X	34	\times	\times	31	\times	92	31	208
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	X	X	X	X	1	X	X	1	X	1	0	3
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	2	\times	\times	X	\sim	1	$>\!\!<$	\times	1	$>\!\!<$	2	1	7
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	\times	\times	X	\times	0	\times	X	0	\times	0	0	0
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	6	\times	\times	X	\times	4	\times	\times	5	\times	15	7	37
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	8	X	X	X	X	6	X	X	7	X	18	8	47
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	28	X	X	X	X	40	Х	X	38	X	110	39	255
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	\times	\times	\times	$\geq \leq$	0	$>\!\!<$	\times	0	\times	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	\times	\times	\times	$\geq \sim$	0	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	0	\times	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	\times	\times	\times	>>	0	\times	$>\!\!<$	0	\times	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	44	$>\!\!<$	> <	\times	> <	45	> <	> <	32	> <	108	48	277

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	28	\times	\times	\times	\times	40	\times	\times	38	\times	110	39	255
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	X	X	X	X	0	\times	X	0	\times	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	44	\times	X	X	X	45	\times	X	32	\times	108	48	277
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	2	\times	Х	X	X	3	\times	X	3	X	5	4	17
TOTAL LAP HOURS	31.0	\times	Х	Х	Х	30.0	X	Х	32.0	\times	88.0	46.0	227.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	2.0	X	X	Х	X	6.0	\times	X	4.0	\times	10.0	8.0	30.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	25.0	\times	\times	\times	X	24.0	\sim	X	28.0	\times	78.0	38.0	193.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	4.0	\times	Х	Х	Х	0.0	X	Х	0.0	\times	0.0	0.0	4.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.21	\times	X	X	X	0.16	\times	X	0.09	X	0.14	0.10	0.1342
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	\times	\times	\times	\times	0	\times	\times	0	\times	0	0	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	> <	\times	X	\times	0	\times	\times	0	\times	0	0	0

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours. One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours. Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest One contact every 60 minutes of patrol varies by project 30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 11.4 hrs. ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 6.4 hrs. AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 1.8 hrs. **CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)** 45.4 mins. AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 10.3 hrs. OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 2.7 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives): CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

OTTIER EN OROLINENT ACTIVITED (not required objectives).	
CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	41.8 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	44.6 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	51.0
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS	0.0
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	55.4
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	0.9
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	65.1 %

SANGAMON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Sangamon County is situated in central Illinois encompassing 879 square miles and has a population of approximately 190,000. The largest municipality in Sangamon County is the City of Springfield having a population of 111,000. Springfield is the state capital as well as the county seat. Sangamon County includes twenty-three other towns/villages within its boundaries.

Driving while impaired (either under the influence of alcohol or drugs) has been a problem in Sangamon County. Springfield and Sangamon County host several events that increase the traffic flow tremendously. During these events, additional problems with drinking and driving occur. To highlight this issue, during FY07, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office handled three DUI related fatalities, 21 alcohol-related personal injury crashes, and 19 alcohol-related property crashes. To combat this problem the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY09.

The total expenditures for the Sangamon County LAP project (Project #AL9-0084-095) in the thirteenth year of funding totaled \$104,967.87. Personnel costs for this grant totaled \$91,609.35.

Police Services

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Department has a total of 74 deputies. Fifty-four deputies are assigned to the Patrol Division with general police responsibilities.

Project Evaluation

The Sangamon County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: Conduct approximately 2,070 hours of which 80% or 1,650 hours

will be actual patrol by sheriff deputies for enhanced DUI, safety

belt, and child passenger restraint enforcement.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective

by only conducting 1,481.8 patrol hours (1,019.8 actual patrol

hours plus 462 DUI processing hours).

Objective 2: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make a

minimum of one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective

by making one contact for every 90.2 minutes of patrol (1,019.8 actual patrol hours divided by 678 citations multiplied by 60

minutes).

Objective 3: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make

one DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

making one DUI arrest for every 5.8 patrol hours (1,019.8 patrol

hours divided by 177 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office will be required to make

one alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.0 patrol hours (1,019.8 patrol hours divided by the sum of 177 total DUI citations, 16 illegal transportation violations, 5 sworn reports, and 7 other

alcohol-related citations).

Objective 5: The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office shall be required to

average a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for

each DUI arrest.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective

by averaging 2.6 hours to process each DUI arrest (462.0 DUI

processing hours divided by 177 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet five objectives: conduct 1,650 patrol hours, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The sheriff's office met two of the five objectives.

The sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 5.8 patrol hours. The sheriff's office also met the alcohol-related contact rate objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.0 patrol hours.

The sheriff's office failed to meet the patrol hours objective by only conducting 1,481.8 patrol hours of the required 1,650 patrol hours. Also, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.6 hours to process each DUI arrest. The sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by making one contact (citation/written warning) for every 90.2 minutes of patrol.

Table 15: Sangamon County LAP Project Summary Data FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Sangamon County SO FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009 GRANT # <u>AL9-0084-095</u>

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	8	7	3	0	9	9	5	8	13	13	15	5	95
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	1	0	2	2	3	7	4	2	1	2	5	29
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	12	11	6	4	2	6	3	1	1	6	0	2	54
7. DUI	31	20	25	11	24	16	12	9	8	8	7	6	177
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	1	1	2	3	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	16
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	0	5
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	3	4	1	3	0	4	3	5	6	6	11	3	49
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	57	45	38	24	38	39	33	29	32	37	36	23	431
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	7
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	26	20	18	45	23	21	21	9	11	11	19	14	238
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	27	20	18	45	23	22	24	10	12	11	20	15	247
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	84	65	56	69	61	61	57	39	44	48	56	38	678
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	86	61	74	56	65	67	58	54	35	43	63	63	725

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	84	65	56	69	61	61	57	39	44	48	56	38	678
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	86	20	74	56	65	67	58	54	35	43	63	63	684
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	31	20	25	11	24	16	12	9	8	8	7	6	177
TOTAL LAP HOURS	200.0	181.0	180.0	139.0	182.0	171.0	151.0	139.0	142.0	176.0	146.5	155.0	1962.5
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	79.0	61.0	66.0	34.0	71.0	53.0	23.0	16.0	18.0	18.0	13.0	10.0	462.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	92.0	95.0	89.0	84.0	82.0	90.0	82.0	73.0	63.0	94.0	84.8	91.0	1019.8
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	29.0	25.0	25.0	21.0	29.0	28.0	46.0	50.0	61.0	64.0	48.8	54.0	480.8
BAC AVERAGE	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.1570
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	79	90	114	99	52	55	53	50	54	38	68	60	812
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	15	12	14	10	12	21	9	22	18	31	13	18	195

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours. One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours. Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest One contact every 60 minutes of patrol varies by project

C) <u>E</u>	<u> ქე</u>	<u>'E</u>	C	: [I	V	E	<u>S.</u>	
_			_			_	_			

5.8 hrs. **DUI RATE** ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 5.0 hrs. AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.6 hrs. **CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)** 90.2 mins. AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 28.5 hrs. OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 0.3 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

<u>OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):</u>	
CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	89.5 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	123.5 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	56.5
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS	0.0
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	57.0
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	1.0
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	14.0 %

SKOKIE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The village of Skokie is a suburb located 16 miles northwest of downtown Chicago and covers approximately 10.5 square miles of land. Based on 2000 census information, the population of Skokie is 63,348. Also, Skokie has approximately 136.2 miles of non-arterial and 42.6 miles of arterial streets including Interstate 94 (I-94).

Within recent years, the Skokie Police Department noticed that its general patrol division staff was inadequate to deal with the increasing occurrence of negative driving behaviors, including DUI and alcohol-related driving, and traffic crashes within Skokie. To deal with the traffic situation, the Skokie Police Department created a Traffic Unit. This unit would devote its time and efforts on traffic crash investigation and prevention.

With the impact the Traffic Unit has had on negative driving behaviors within Skokie, the Skokie Police Department requested funding in the form of a local alcohol project (LAP) grant to provide more resources to combat the prevalence of alcohol-related driving behaviors within the village.

The Skokie Police Department has been awarded a LAP grant for FY09. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with DUI and alcohol-related driving within the village of Skokie.

The total expenditures for the Skokie LAP project (Project #AL9-5365-018) in the third year of funding totaled \$122,199.06. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$115,738.72.

Police Services

The Skokie Police Department has 105 sworn officers with 57 officers assigned to the Patrol Division. The Skokie Police Department has a fleet of 24 marked police vehicles. The Skokie Police Department's Traffic Unit has one full-time Sergeant and four full-time patrol officers.

Project Evaluation

The Skokie LAP project included an enforcement component. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Skokie Police Department will schedule and conduct 24 to 30

hours of hire-back patrols, per week, concentrating on DUI

enforcement mobilizations.

Accomplishment: The Skokie Police Department met the weekly patrol hours

objective by averaging 37.1 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Skokie Police Department will be required to make one

contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Skokie Police Department met this objective by making one

contact for every 34.6 minutes of patrol (1,743.8 patrol hours

divided by 3,025 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Skokie Police Department will be required to make one DUI

arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Skokie Police Department failed to meet this objective by

making one DUI arrest for every 18.8 patrol hours (1,743.8 patrol

hours divided by 93 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Skokie Police Department will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: The Skokie Police Department failed to meet this objective by

making one alcohol-related contact for every 11.5 patrol hours (1,743.8 patrol hours divided by the sum of 93 DUI arrests, 30 illegal transportation citations, 8 sworn reports, and 21 other

alcohol-related citations).

Objective 5: The Skokie Police Department shall be required to average a DUI

processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI arrest.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Skokie Police Department met this objective by averaging 2.0

hours to process each DUI arrest (186.0 DUI processing hours

divided by 93 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Skokie Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on specific violations, namely DUI and alcohol-related violations.

The Skokie Police Department was required to meet five objectives: conduct more than 24 patrol hours per week, make one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The Skokie Police Department met three of the five objectives during fiscal year 2009.

The department met the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The Skokie Police Department conducted on average 37.1 patrol hours per week. The department also made one motorist contact for every 34.6 minutes of patrol. Finally, the department averaged two hours to process each DUI arrest.

On the other hand, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related objectives. The department only made one DUI arrest for every 18.8 patrol hours and only made one alcohol-related contact for every 11.5 patrol hours.

Table 16: Skokie LAP Project Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: <u>Skokie PD</u> GRANT # <u>AL9-5365-018</u> FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	52	63	37	58	38	58	44	28	21	34	43	33	509
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	3	5	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	14
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	3	1	5	1	4	3	7	6	3	2	0	36
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	24	11	9	24	24	10	16	7	10	16	11	4	166
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	34	33	19	46	45	38	31	28	40	30	34	25	403
7. DUI	7	12	5	7	5	7	7	11	8	8	11	5	93
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	2	2	2	1	1	4	5	4	4	3	0	30
9. SWORN REPORT	1	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	8
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	35	76	33	56	57	31	52	37	25	46	49	24	521
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	157	203	111	201	173	153	159	126	114	142	154	92	1785
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	1	1	0	5	2	0	3	6	1	0	1	1	21
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	7	11	7	8	17	4	8	7	4	1	4	1	79
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	79	141	134	178	154	166	49	38	34	62	60	41	1136
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	87	153	141	191	175	171	60	51	39	63	66	43	1240
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	244	356	252	392	348	324	219	177	153	205	220	135	3025
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	178	290	206	335	304	272	166	119	171	145	152	106	2444

	2008 OCT	2008 NOV	2008 DEC	2009 JAN	2009 FEB	2009 MAR	2009 APR	2009 MAY	2009 JUN	2009 JUL	2009 AUG	2009 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	244	356	252	392	348	324	219	177	153	205	220	135	3025
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	178	12	206	335	304	272	166	119	171	145	152	106	2166
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	7	12	5	7	5	7	7	11	8	8	11	5	93
TOTAL LAP HOURS	175.3	257.8	188.5	263.5	226.3	211.5	156.8	149.5	141.0	152.0	160.3	108.0	2190.3
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	14.0	24.0	10.0	14.0	10.0	14.0	14.0	22.0	16.0	16.0	22.0	10.0	186.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	140.3	210.3	159.5	219.5	195.3	161.5	129.8	101.5	109.0	118.0	114.3	85.0	1743.8
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	21.0	23.5	19.0	30.0	21.0	36.0	13.0	26.0	16.0	18.0	24.0	13.0	260.5
BAC AVERAGE	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.00	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.1242
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	164	189	296	259	151	131	179	153	200	197	152	151	2222
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	18	37	1	31	22	21	31	28	34	33	20	32	308

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
varies by project

OBJECTIVES:	
DUI RATE	
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE	
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME	

AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME

CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)

AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS

OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE

2.0 hrs.

34.6 mins.

37.1 hrs.

2.7 %

18.8 hrs.

11.5 hrs.

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

1.4

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

SPRINGFIELD POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

The City of Springfield is centrally located within Sangamon County and has a population of approximately 111,000 residents. Springfield is the state capital as well as the county seat. Major roads in and around Springfield include Interstates 55 and 72 and State Routes 97 and 4. Other major roads in Springfield include Dirksen Parkway, Wabash Avenue, Stevenson Drive, and Sangamon Avenue. Many of the major attractions in Springfield include sites dedicated to Abraham Lincoln. The city also hosts many events throughout the year which bring an influx of visitors.

The city has had problems with alcohol-related driving behaviors in the past. Recently, Springfield was ranked 7th overall on the Division of Traffic Safety's over-representation list of alcohol-related crashes. From 1999 to 2001, the yearly average of alcohol-related crashes for the city was 74. As well as having a problem with alcohol-related driving behaviors, the city averages more than 1,000 hit and run crashes each year and it is presumed that a number of these are alcohol-related. To help the Springfield Police Department with this problem, the department has requested funding for a LAP grant.

The total expenditures for the Springfield LAP project (Project #OP9-5480-065) in the fourth year of funding totaled \$136,891.61. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$123,858.05.

Police Services

The Springfield Police Department Traffic Services Section has two officers dedicated as full-time DUI officers. The Traffic Services Section has two additional officers that address complaints and patrol high-crash locations.

Project Evaluation

The Springfield LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Springfield Police Department will be required to conduct

approximately 3,120 hours of actual patrol annually in dedicated traffic/DUI, passenger and child restraint enforcement efforts.

Accomplishment: The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective,

but it did conduct 2,739 patrol hours during FY09.

Objective 2: The Springfield Police Department will be required to make one

motorist contact for every 60 minutes of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective by

making one contact for every 166.9 minutes of patrol (2,231 patrol

hours divided by 802 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Springfield Police Department will be required to make one

DUI arrest for every ten hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: The Springfield Police Department met this objective by making

one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours.

Objective 4: The Springfield Police Department will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Springfield Police Department failed to meet this objective by

making one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours (2,231 patrol hours divided by the sum of 261 total DUI citations, 72 illegal transportation citations, and 15 other alcohol-related

citations).

Objective 5: The Springfield Police Department will be required to have a DUI

processing rate of no more than two hours per DUI arrest.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Springfield Police Department met this objective by averaging

2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

Project Overview:

The Springfield Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations. The department was required to meet five objectives: conduct 3,120 patrol hours, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one citation issued for every 60 minutes of patrol, issue one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every six patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of no more than two hours. The department met two of their five objectives.

During fiscal year 2009, the Springfield Police Department met two objectives. The department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours. Also, the department met the DUI processing rate objective by averaging one 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The department failed to meet the patrol hours objective by conducting only 2,739 patrol hours of the required 3,160 patrol hours. The department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective by only issuing one citation for every 166.9 minutes of patrol. The department also failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate objective by only issuing one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours.

Table 17: Springfield LAP Project Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: <u>Springfield PD</u> FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2008</u> GRANT # <u>AL9-5480-065</u> FROM: <u>Oct. 1, 2008</u> TO: <u>Sept. 30, 2009</u>

TYPE OF CITATION	2008 OCT	2008 NOV	2008 DEC	2009 JAN	2009 FEB	2009 MAR	2009 APR	2009 MAY	2009 JUN	2009 JUL	2009 AUG	2009 SEP	ANNUAL TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	8	3	2	1	9	6	8	6	6	17	3	4	73
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	9
3. FAIL TO STOP	2	1	1	2	3	2	2	0	3	4	3	0	23
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	3	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	3	0	2	16
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	2	3	0	2	5	6	1	2	1	2	0	2	26
7. DUI	25	13	14	27	22	26	18	13	25	29	25	24	261
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	6	3	2	1	10	6	5	1	5	14	11	8	72
9. SWORN REPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	6	4	1	1	4	1	3	0	5	4	6	0	35
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	51	30	23	34	54	50	38	23	48	76	48	41	516
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	2	2	2	1	15
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	0	1	1	7	2	8	3	4	5	2	3	0	36
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	7
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	21	13	13	17	32	21	30	18	31	14	1	17	228
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	21	14	14	24	40	31	36	23	40	19	6	18	286
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	72	44	37	58	94	81	74	46	88	95	54	59	802
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	66	52	29	64	66	72	84	46	85	117	227	262	1170

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	72	44	37	58	94	81	74	46	88	95	54	59	802
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	66	52	29	64	66	72	84	46	85	117	227	262	1170
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	25	13	14	27	22	26	18	13	25	29	25	24	261
TOTAL LAP HOURS	208.0	227.0	170.0	269.0	196.0	229.0	224.0	156.0	237.0	308.0	216.0	299.0	2739.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	63.0	29.0	32.0	62.0	40.0	52.0	32.0	26.0	45.0	52.0	44.0	50.0	527.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	145.0	198.0	138.0	207.0	156.0	177.0	192.0	130.0	192.0	256.0	192.0	248.0	2231.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.16	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.1733
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	341	444	521	656	346	406	494	421	423	411	410	422	5295
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	101	91	75	83	69	81	88	83	86	99	104	103	1063

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
varies by project

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE

8.5 hrs.
6.4 hrs.
2.0 hrs.
5.1 hrs.
53.1 hrs.

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

114.4 mins.

229.8 hrs.

66.8

0.0

0.7

97.5

CITATIONS & WARNINGS

97.5

PREDING CITATIONS

97.5

PREDING CITATIONS

99.1 %

ST. CLAIR COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

St. Clair County is located in southwestern Illinois along the Mississippi River across from St. Louis. The population of St. Clair County numbers 256,082 residents. The two largest cities in the county are Belleville with a population of more than 41,000 and East St. Louis with a population of more than 31,000. Due to its proximity to St. Louis, the county notices a large volume of traffic flow throughout the year for people attending sporting venues, festivals, and other such events.

Due to the county's predominately high crime rate, the Sheriff's Office uses most of its resources to focus on this problem and does not spend as much time focusing on alcohol-related driving enforcement. Still, the county does have problems with alcohol-related driving. During 2001, St. Clair County had 29 alcohol-related fatalities, 369 alcohol-related driving injuries, and 297 alcohol-related driving property damage crashes.

To combat the predominately high alcohol-related driving problem in the county, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY09. The LAP grant will provide the Sheriff's Office with extra funding for patrols to concentrate on locations throughout the county that are identified as areas which have high DUI and alcohol-related driving violations.

The total expenditures for the St. Clair County LAP project (Project #AL9-0082-061) in the fifth year of funding totaled \$165,090.73. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$151,736.01.

Police Services

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office consists of 128 full-time sworn Deputies, 20 civilian employees, and 39 Auxiliary Deputies. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office provides a full service Patrol Division, Correction's Division, Civil Process Section, and Court Bailiff Services.

Project Evaluation

The St. Clair County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The sheriff's office failed to submit their September 2009 enforcement information in a timely manner, so the following information is only for 11 months of enforcement data. The objectives and accomplishments as follows:

Objective 1: The St. Clair County Sheriff's office will be required to conduct

2,280 hours of extra patrol annually in dedicated traffic/DUI, passenger and child restraint efforts or an average of 43.8 patrol

hours per week.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

averaging 52.0 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to make a

minimum of one motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective

by making one contact for every 82.6 minutes of patrol (1,917.0 patrol hours divided by 1,393 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to make one

DUI arrest for every eight hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office met this objective by

averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.4 patrol hours (1,917.0 patrol

hours divided by 260 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every six hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making

one alcohol-related contact for every 5.1 patrol hours (1,917.0 patrol hours divided by the sum of 260 DUI citations, 78 illegal

transportation violations, and 40 sworn reports).

Objective 5: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to maintain

a DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI

arrest.

Accomplishment: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective

by averaging a DUI processing time of 2.2 hours for each DUI arrest (559.0 DUI processing hours divided by 260 DUI arrests).

Objective 6: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office shall be required to issue at

least 25 percent of all enforcement citations for occupant restraint

violations.

Accomplishment: The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective

by issuing 6.5 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations (the sum of 84 seat belt violations and 7 child restraint

violations divided by 1,393 total citations multiplied by 100

percent).

Project Overview:

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet six objectives: conduct 2,280 patrol hours (or average 43.8 patrol hours per week), maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 8 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, maintain a DUI processing time of less

than two hours, and issue more than 25 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations. The sheriff's office met three of the six objectives.

The sheriff's office met the weekly patrol hours objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The sheriff's office met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 52.0 patrol hours per week. The sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging 7.4 patrol hours between DUI arrests. The sheriff's office also met the alcohol-related contact rate objective by making one alcohol-related contact for every 5.1 patrol hours.

On the other hand, the sheriff's office failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI processing time objective, and the occupant restraint percentage objective. The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office made one motorist contact for every 82.6 minutes of patrol. Also, the sheriff's office failed to meet the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.2 hours to process each DUI arrest. Although the sheriff's office was required to issue more than 25 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations, it only issued 6.5 percent of all its citations for occupant restraint violations.

Table 18: St. Clair County LAP Project Summary Data FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 PROJECT NAME: St.Clair County SO TO: Sept. 30, 2009 GRANT # AL9-0082-061

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	35	25	17	6	13	18	33	9	11	12	11	$>\!\!<$	190
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	$>\!\!<$	5
3. FAIL TO STOP	13	8	7	8	2	10	4	3	2	6	0	$>\!\!<$	63
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	10	4	4	4	4	8	4	4	4		1	> <	47
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	> <	6
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	18	14	10	17	10	18	12	14	7	7	5	$>\!\!<$	132
7. DUI	30	36	20	32	23	25	36	19	18	14	7	> <	260
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	13	15	12	10	6	6	7	5	3	0	1	> <	78
9. SWORN REPORT	7	4	3	4	4	5	3	2	3	4	1	> <	40
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	53	45	16	25	35	54	33	15	38	21	4	> <	339
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	181	152	90	106	98	144	132	73	89	65	30	$>\!\!<$	1160
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	22	8	7	6	6	10	5	9	3	8	0	$>\!\!<$	84
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	ot	7
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	11	14	12	23	9	17	19	6	12	5	14	> <	142
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	33	25	19	29	15	27	24	16	16	14	15	> <	233
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	214	177	109	135	113	171	156	89	105	79	45	> <	1393
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	> <	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	> <	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\overline{}$	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	275	255	181	257	196	205	248	166	unk	149	79	$\geq \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$	2011

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	214	177	109	135	113	171	156	89	105	79	45	\times	1393
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\times	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	275	255	181	257	196	205	248	166	unk	149	79	\times	2011
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	30	36	20	32	23	25	36	19	18	14	7	$>\!\!<$	260
TOTAL LAP HOURS	296.0	338.0	205.0	309.0	242.0	273.0	220.0	203.0	200.0	174.0	88.0	\times	2548.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	69.0	89.0	51.0	67.0	50.0	53.0	51.0	40.0	37.0	36.0	16.0	\times	559.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	221.0	233.0	150.0	230.0	190.0	208.0	156.0	163.0	159.0	139.0	68.0	\times	1917.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	6.0	16.0	4.0	12.0	0.0	12.0	3.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	4.0	\times	64.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.21	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.12	\times	0.1611
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	${}$	0
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\times	0

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours. One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours. Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest One contact every 60 minutes of patrol varies by project 30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 7.4 hrs. ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 5.1 hrs. AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.2 hrs. **CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)** 82.6 mins. AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 52.0 hrs. OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 6.5 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)	57.2 mins.
AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS	225.1 hrs.
AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS	126.6
AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS	0.0
AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS	182.8
CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO	0.7
SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE	13.6 %

WAUKEGAN POLICE DEPARTMENT

Background

Waukegan, located in Lake County, is situated along the western shore of Lake Michigan and has a population of more than 87,000 residents. The city is situated 40 miles north of Chicago and 60 miles south of Milwaukee. These cities can be reached by the Tri-State Tollway (Interstate 94). The other major roadways in Waukegan are State Routes 120, 131, and 137.

As of March 2004, a total of 94 businesses held liquor licenses in Waukegan. These liquor licenses grant businesses closing times between 2:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. throughout the week (based on the classification of the business). Due to the amount of businesses in the area able to serve alcohol, there has been a serious problem with alcohol-related drinking and driving. Between January 1999 and December 2001, there were 22 traffic crashes with fatalities. Of these 22 fatalities, eight of them were alcohol-related and occurred between 9:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m. Between January 2002 and March 2004, there were nine fatal crashes, five of which were alcohol-related. As recently as 2007, the Waukegan Police Department made approximately 432 DUI arrests. Almost 400 of those arrests had a BAC of .134 or greater.

The Waukegan Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY09. The LAP grant will help the department combat the high prevalence of alcohol-related drinking and driving through increased enforcement.

The total expenditures for the Waukegan LAP project (Project #AL9-6075-127) in its sixth year of funding totaled \$165,932.61. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$158,178.77.

Police Services

The Waukegan Police Department has 74 patrol officers. Two full-time officers are assigned to work on the LAP project.

Project Evaluation

The Waukegan LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Waukegan Police Department will assign two officers to patrol

40 enforcement hours per week per officer of dedicated DUI patrol

for a total of 80 hours per week.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Waukegan Police Department failed to meet this objective by

only averaging 48.8 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Waukegan Police Department will be required to make one

motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making

one motorist contact for every 52.2 minutes of patrol (1,821.0 patrol hours divided by 2,092 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Waukegan Police Department will be required to make one

DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making

one DUI arrest for every 4.8 patrol hours (1,821.0 patrol hours

divided by 382 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Waukegan Police Department will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by making

one alcohol-related contact for every 3.9 patrol hours (1,821.0 patrol hours divided by the sum of 382 total DUI citations, 63 illegal transportation violations, 5 sworn reports, and 17 other

alcohol-related citations).

Objective 5: The Waukegan Police Department shall be required to maintain a

DUI processing time of no more than two hours for each DUI

arrest.

Accomplishment: The Waukegan Police Department met this objective by averaging

1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest (714.0 DUI processing hours

divided by 382 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Waukegan Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The department was required to meet five objectives: average 80 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 52.2 minutes of patrol. The department met both the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives by averaging one DUI arrest for every 4.8 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 3.9 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging a DUI processing time of 1.9.

The only objective the department failed to meet was the weekly patrol hours objective. The department only averaged 48.8 patrol hours per week.

Table 18: Waukegan LAP Project Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Waukegan PD GRANT # AL9-6075-127 FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	18	15	8	7	7	3	5	22	5	24	34	22	170
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	3	4	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	18
3. FAIL TO STOP	6	0	3	0	1	5	2	4	0	1	5	3	30
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	4	4	1	3	4	2	1	9	1	4	6	7	46
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	16	11	4	7	6		9		4	12	11	11	109
7. DUI	41	41	24	30	28	26	20	31	15	60	33	33	382
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	8	4	5	11	7	4	2	1	1	4	9	7	63
9. SWORN REPORT	1	2	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	1	5
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	64	49	24	38	28	27	17	24	19	56	173	148	667
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	159	129	74	97	83	74	57	102	46	162	273	236	1492
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	1	3	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	4	0	0	17
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	4	2	1	5	1	1	0	1	0	4	3	3	25
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	55	35	33	59	47	56	30	30	15	35	83	78	556
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	60	40	36	66	49	59	31	33	15	44	86	81	600
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	219	169	110	163	132	133	88	135	61	206	359	317	2092
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS		316	301	444	262	383	265	381	171	486	393	381	3783

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	219	169	110	163	132	133	88	135	61	206	359	317	2092
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	0	41	301	444	262	383	265	381	171	486	393	381	3508
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	41	41	24	30	28	26	20	31	15	60	33	33	382
TOTAL LAP HOURS	335.0	296.0	256.0	320.0	248.0	328.0	208.0	304.0	128.0	340.0	338.0	330.0	3431.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	84.0	82.0	48.0	66.0	56.0	52.0	40.0	64.0	30.0	60.0	66.0	66.0	714.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	121.0	140.0	144.0	176.0	130.0	196.0	120.0	164.0	66.0	194.0	188.0	182.0	1821.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	130.0	74.0	64.0	78.0	62.0	80.0	48.0	80.0	32.0	86.0	84.0	82.0	900.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.1625
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	8	13	6	15	2	13	0	6	4	14	7	9	97
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	4	3	3	2	0	2	1	4	3	4	2	8	36

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

Required:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.
One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.
Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest
One contact every 60 minutes of patrol
varies by project
30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE

ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE

AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME

CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)

AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS

OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE

4.8 hrs.

4.8 hrs.

4.8 hrs.

4.8 hrs.

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

31.1 mins.

211.3 hrs.

174.3

0.0

292.3

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

8.1 %

WHEELING POLICE DEPARTMENT

<u>Background</u>

The Village of Wheeling is a community of approximately 38,000 residents covering nearly 10 square miles of northern Cook/southern Lake Counties. The community is bisected by five State thoroughfares and a combined freight and commuter rail line. Additionally, Interstate 294 comprises the eastern border of the Village while Palwaukee Airport, the third busiest in Illinois, lies within the southern portion of the community at Route 21 and Palatine Road.

The major industrial base of the community compounds traffic flow with additional commuter traffic and commercial truck traffic. Commercial truck traffic servicing the industrial base is also significant, drawn to three major trucking terminals and 20 industrial parks.

The Wheeling Police Department requested funding for a LAP grant for FY09. The LAP grant will help the department combat the high prevalence of alcohol-related drinking and driving through increased enforcement.

The Wheeling Police Department has been awarded a LAP grant. This grant will allow the department to expend more resources to deal with the high volume of crashes in the community.

The total expenditures for the Wheeling TLEP project (Project #OP9-6200-256) in the first year of funding totaled \$113,543.97. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$113,543.97.

Police Services

The Wheeling Police Department currently employs 68 sworn officers. The Wheeling Police Department maintains a fleet of 34 vehicles including 14 marked patrol squad cars and two dedicated traffic unit squad cars.

Project Evaluation

The Wheeling LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Wheeling Police Department will conduct 1,872 patrol hours

throughout the year averaging 36 patrol hours per week.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by averaging

38.5 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Wheeling Police Department will be required to make one

motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by making

one motorist contact for every 38.2 minutes of patrol (1,663.0 patrol hours divided by 2,609 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Wheeling Police Department will be required to make one

DUI arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by making

only one DUI arrest for every 9.8 patrol hours (1,663.0 patrol

hours divided by 170 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Wheeling Police Department will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Wheeling Police Department failed to meet this objective by

making only one alcohol-related contact for every 8.1 patrol hours (1,663.0 patrol hours divided by the sum of 170 total DUI citations, 17 illegal transportation violations, 11 sworn reports, and 8 other

alcohol-related citations).

Objective 5: The Wheeling Police Department shall be required to maintain a

DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours for each DUI arrest.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Wheeling Police Department met this objective by averaging

2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest (340.0 DUI processing hours

divided by 170 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Wheeling Police Department was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The Wheeling Police Department was required to meet five objectives: average 36 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met four of the five objectives.

The department met the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, DUI arrest rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging 38.5 patrol hours per week. The department met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 38.5 minutes of patrol. The department also met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 9.8 patrol hours. Finally, the department met the DUI processing time objective by averaging 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The only objective the department failed to meet was the alcohol-related contact rate objective by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 8.1 patrol hours.

Table 20: Wheeling County LAP Project Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Wheeling PD GRANT # AL9-6200-256

FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	22	80	50	71	101	96	68	69	84	92	56	51	840
2. FAIL TO YIELD	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	9
3. FAIL TO STOP	0	0	5	4	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	0	0	2	11	9	3	10	3	8	7	5	3	61
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	2	6	2	3	5	1	2	7	4	6	1	0	39
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	15	33	20	25	39	31	41	43	46	46	24	18	381
7. DUI	10	12	11	11	15	13	15	24	18	16	15	10	170
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	0	3	0	1	17
9. SWORN REPORT	0	3	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	11
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	10	13	8	40	32	21	16	37	17	37	22	9	262
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	61	151	101	167	208	168	155	189	177	212	123	92	1804
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	8
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	12	3	13	8	15	4	4	12	3	4	1	2	81
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	5	2	0	3	7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	20
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	40	39	48	45	67	45	57	79	55	91	65	65	696
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	58	45	62	57	90	49	63	94	59	95	66	67	805
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	119	196	163	224	298	217	218	283	236	307	189	159	2609
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	153	215	175	186	244	253	187	425	212	416	155	278	2899

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	119	196	163	224	298	217	218	283	236	307	189	159	2609
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	153	12	175	186	244	253	187	425	212	416	155	278	2696
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	10	12	11	11	15	13	15	24	18	16	15	10	170
TOTAL LAP HOURS	112.0	144.0	132.0	133.0	160.0	160.0	161.0	263.0	188.0	287.0	152.0	164.0	2056.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	20.0	24.0	22.0	22.0	30.0	26.0	30.0	48.0	36.0	32.0	30.0	20.0	340.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	70.0	117.0	106.0	107.0	130.0	114.0	131.0	215.0	152.0	255.0	122.0	144.0	1663.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	22.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.1431
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	72	61	123	99	95	87	51	69	69	61	56	57	900
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	13	10	9	12	21	18	2	9	9	9	7	12	131

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

R	eq	ui	re	d:

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

varies by project

30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE 9.8 hrs.
ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE 8.1 hrs.
AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME 2.0 hrs.
CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS) 38.2 mins.
AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS 38.5 hrs.
OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE 3.9 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS) 37.0 mins.

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS 166.9 hrs.

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS 217.4

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS 0.0

AVERAGE MONTHLY CONTACTS 224.7

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO 1.0

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE 32.2 %

WILL COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Background

Will County is located in the northeastern section of Illinois just south of Chicago. The population of Will County, as listed in the 2000 U.S. Census, was 502,266. The largest city in the county is Joliet with a population of 106,221. Within the county there are regional and urban bus lines and a network of over 120 miles of rail lines which provide easy access to the rest of Chicagoland. Major roads through the county include Interstates 80, 57, and 55. The county has many attractions and venues which bring an increase of visitors and traffic.

Due to the increase in population in the county, as well as an increase in traffic flow, the number of traffic-related injuries and fatalities has risen dramatically in the county. Along with the increased traffic-related fatalities and injuries, there has been an increase in the prevalence of these fatalities and injuries being alcohol-related with a large percentage of these crashes involving the younger population.

The Will County Sheriff's Office requested funding for a LAP grant for FY09. The Sheriff's Office wants to dedicate one full-time deputy to work on DUI enforcement.

The total expenditures for the Will County LAP project (Project #AL9-0099-265) in its fifth year of funding totaled \$186,543.74. Total personnel costs for this grant totaled \$165,592.99.

Police Services

Currently, the Will County Sheriff's Office has 201 sworn police officers utilizing 95 patrol cars. All Deputies assigned to patrol services have completed a minimum basic instruction course in crash investigation and are certified radar unit operators. Traffic Division personnel have completed Traffic Reconstruction courses as well.

Project Evaluation

The Will County LAP included enforcement components, plus alcohol-related components. The objectives and accomplishments were as follows:

Objective 1: The Will County Sheriff's Office will assign a deputy to conduct 40

hours per week.

Accomplishment: The Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet this objective by

averaging 24.2 patrol hours per week.

Objective 2: The Will County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one

motorist contact for every 60 minutes of patrol.

Accomplishment: The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one

motorist contact for every 36.7 minutes of patrol (1,002.0 patrol

hours divided by 1,639 citations multiplied by 60 minutes).

Objective 3: The Will County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one DUI

arrest for every 10 hours of actual patrol.

Accomplishment: The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making one

DUI arrest for every 7.7 patrol hours (1,002.0 patrol hours divided

by 130 DUI arrests).

Objective 4: The Will County Sheriff's Office will be required to make one

alcohol-related contact for every 6 hours of actual patrol.

<u>Accomplishment:</u> The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by making only

one alcohol-related contact for every 5.9 patrol hours (1,002.0 patrol hours divided by the sum of 130 total DUI citations, 25 illegal transportation violations, 3 sworn reports, and 13 other

alcohol-related citations).

Objective 5: The Will County Sheriff's Office shall be required to maintain a

DUI processing time of no more than 2 hours for each DUI arrest.

Accomplishment: The Will County Sheriff's Office met this objective by averaging

1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest (253.0 DUI processing hours

divided by 130 DUI arrests).

Project Overview:

The Will County Sheriff's Office was issued a LAP grant for fiscal year 2009 to provide extra funding to focus on alcohol-related traffic violations, including DUIs and illegal transportation violations.

The sheriff's office was required to meet five objectives: average 40 patrol hours per week, maintain a motorist contact rate of more than one for every 60 minutes of patrol, make one DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours, make one alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours, and maintain a DUI processing time of less than two hours. The department met four of the five objectives.

The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate objective by issuing one citation for every 36.7 minutes of patrol. Also, the sheriff's office met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.7 patrol hours. Furthermore, the sheriff's office met the alcohol-related contact rate objective by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 5.9 patrol hours. Finally, the sheriff's office met the DUI processing time objective by averaging less than two hours to process each DUI arrest.

The only objective the sheriff's office didn't meet was the weekly patrol hours objective. The Will County Sheriff's Office failed to meet the 40 patrol hours per week objective by only averaging 24.9 patrol hours per week.

Table 21: Will County LAP Project Summary Data

FY09 LAP PROJECT SUMMARY DATA

PROJECT NAME: Will County SO GRANT # AL9-0099-265

FROM: Oct. 1, 2008 TO: Sept. 30, 2009

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
TYPE OF CITATION	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
1. SPEEDING	48	44	26	33	45	74	81	70	52	78	57	66	674
2. FAIL TO YIELD	1	4	1	1	0	2	4	0	5	1	5	0	24
3. FAIL TO STOP	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	3	3	1	6	7	27
4. IGNORE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	1	3	2	2	1	3	6	5	2	9	5	8	47
5. FOLLOWING TOO CLOSE	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	2	1	12
6. IMPROPER LANE USE	5	5	1	1	2	4	7	8	2	6	1	6	48
7. DUI	4	6	5	2	4	14	16	18	16	16	14	15	130
8. ILLEGAL TRANSPORTATION	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	6	3	2	1	4	25
9. SWORN REPORT	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
10. ALL OTHER MOVING VIOL.	3	6	0	2	1	27	19	15	9	28	13	17	140
11. MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	67	71	36	42	55	127	138	126	92	148	104	124	1130
12. OTHER ALCOHOL REATLED CIT.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	1	1	3	13
13. SAFETY BELT VIOLATION	2	4	0	0	0	2	12	12	5	12	16	11	76
14. CHILD RESTRAINT	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4	4	6	3	24
15. OTHER NON-MOVING VIOLATIONS	19	26	19	4	7	46	40	52	38	55	42	48	396
16. NON-MOV. VIOL. SUBTOTAL	21	30	19	4	7	50	55	70	51	72	65	65	509
17. TOTAL CITATIONS	88	101	55	46	62	177	193	196	143	220	169	189	1639
18. SAFETY BELT & CHILD REST. WARN.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19. OTHER WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20. TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21. TOTAL CONTACTS	77	77	40	51	66	120	160	151	78	161	158	148	1287

	2008	2008	2008	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	ANNUAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL
TOTAL CITATIONS	88	101	55	46	62	177	193	196	143	220	169	189	1639
TOTAL WRITTEN WARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CONTACTS	77	6	40	51	66	120	160	151	78	161	158	148	1216
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	4	6	5	2	4	14	16	18	16	16	14	15	130
TOTAL LAP HOURS	107.0	130.0	112.0	120.0	128.0	147.0	144.0	160.0	112.0	152.0	144.0	168.0	1624.0
DUI PROCESSING HOURS	8.0	12.0	10.0	4.0	6.5	22.5	31.0	36.0	32.0	32.0	29.0	30.0	253.0
ACTUAL TRAFFIC ENF. HOURS	63.0	80.0	49.0	69.0	76.5	93.5	98.0	84.0	70.0	108.0	98.0	113.0	1002.0
NON ENFORCEMENT HOURS	36.0	38.0	53.0	47.0	45.0	21.0	15.0	40.0	10.0	12.0	17.0	25.0	359.0
BAC AVERAGE	0.14	0.13	0.21	0.44	0.12	0.16	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.1748
COUNTY/CITYWIDE DATA													0
TOTAL CRASHES (Property Damage)	150	144	272	258	138	131	121	161	155	149	160	155	1994
TOTAL CRASHES (Fatal & Personal Injury)	23	18	36	28	26	23	28	14	27	24	17	17	281

FY09 LAP SUMMARY DATA

One DUI arrest for every 10 patrol hours.

One alcohol-related contact for every 6 patrol hours.

Less than 2 hours to process each DUI arrest

One contact every 60 minutes of patrol

varies by project

30% or greater for restraint violations

OBJECTIVES:

DUI RATE

ALCOHOL-RELATED RATE

AVERAGE DUI PROCESSING TIME

CONTACT RATE (CITATIONS & WRITTEN WARNINGS)

AVERAGE WEEKLY PATROL HOURS

OCCUPANT RESTRAINT PERCENTAGE

7.7 hrs.

5.9 hrs.

1.9 hrs.

36.7 mins.

24.2 hrs.

6.1 %

OTHER ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITES (not required objectives):

CONTACT RATE (VEHICLE STOPS)

AVERAGE MONTHLY PATROL HOURS

AVERAGE MONTHLY CITATIONS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

AVERAGE MONTHLY WARNINGS

CITATIONS & WARNINGS PER VEHICLE STOPS RATIO

SPEEDING CITATIONS PERCENTAGE

49.4 mins.

104.6 hrs.

105.6

106.7

107.3

108.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

109.7

LAP PROJECT EVALUATIONS WITH MULTIPLE YEARS OF FUNDING

Eleven out of the fourteen LAP projects (Buffalo Grove, Chicago, Chicago Heights, Elgin, Macon County, Sangamon County, Skokie, Springfield, St. Clair County, Waukegan, and Will County) have received funding for multiple years.

The following materials provide information on the LAP projects that received funding for the last several years (see Table 21).

Buffalo Grove

The Buffalo Grove Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous four years. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related and DUI offenders.

During all four years of funding, the only objective the Buffalo Grove Police Department failed to meet was the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year of funding. Although the department failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective in the first year of funding, in the prior three years it has consistently conducted more than 28 patrol hours per week. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective during all four years of funding. The department has consistently met the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives. In FY08, the department had its best DUI arrest rate by averaging one DUI arrest for every 5.1 patrol hours. Similarly, the department had its best alcohol-related contact rate in FY08 by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 4.4 patrol hours. Finally, the department has consistently met the DUI processing objective by averaging better than two hours to process each DUI arrest.

Chicago – Strikeforce

The Chicago Police Department has received funding for projects with LAP objectives for the past 15 years. Although the enforcement detail for the Chicago Police Department has changed over the years of funding from saturation patrols to strikeforce, the objectives have remained similar. The department has maintained a good contact rate over the 15 years, but has failed to produce consistent results for the other objectives.

The department has maintained a good contact rate over the 15 years of funding. The department had its best contact rate during FY96 and FY08 at one contact made for every 17.2 minutes of patrol. During the other 13 years, the department has averaged better than one contact for every 34.6 minutes of patrol. The department met the weekly patrol hours objective in the first eight years of funding, but during the following five years, the department has failed to meet this objective. The department has done a better job meeting this objective by meeting this objective in the previous two years.

The department was required to issue more than 30 percent of all citations for occupant restraint violations, but had failed to meet this objective since the first year of funding. The Chicago Police Department did not need to meet this objective in the most recent years (FY08 & FY09). Also, the Chicago Police Department was required to actively pursue alcohol-related driving violators by maintaining a DUI arrest rate of more than one for every 10 patrol hours and an alcohol-related contact rate of more than one for

every 6 patrol hours. The department has failed to meet these objectives. In FY05, the Chicago Police Department had its best alcohol-related contact rate of one made for every 9.6 patrol hours and, in FY08, the department had its best DUI arrest rate by making one DUI arrest for every 12.5 patrol hours. FY07 through FY09 were the only years the Chicago Police Department provided sufficient information to calculate the DUI processing time. Due to the extremely high DUI processing times, the Chicago Police Department has sent deficient information to accurately calculate the DUI processing time.

Chicago Heights

The Chicago Heights Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past six years. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violators.

The Chicago Heights Police Department has met all of the objectives in the first six years of funding, except the weekly patrol hours and occupant restraint percentage objectives. The occupant restraint percentage objective was removed from the project in FY09. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective. Over the six years of funding, the department's motorist contact rate has ranged from a low of one contact made for every 30.6 minutes of patrol in FY04 to a high of one contact made for every 51.3 minutes of patrol in FY09. The department has been very active in the pursuit of alcohol-related driving violations. During all six years of funding, the department has consistently averaged better than one DUI arrest for every 5.6 hours. Similarly, the department has consistently averaged better than one alcohol-related contact for every 4.9 patrol hours. Finally, the department has averaged better than 2.0 hours to process each DUI arrest.

The Chicago Heights Police Department has failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during the first six years of funding. The department was required to conduct an average of 35 patrol hours per week dedicated to enhanced DUI enforcement, but the department's weekly patrols have consistently decreased from year to year with the department posting its lowest weekly patrol hours average during FY09 at 8.9 patrol hours per week. The department failed to meet the occupant restraint percentage objective during the first five years of funding. The department issued no more than 7.1 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations during the five years of funding.

Elgin

The Elgin Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past five years.

The department has consistently met two objectives: the motorist contact rate objective and the DUI processing time objective. The department posted its best motorist contact rate in FY08 at one contact made for every 23.5 minutes of patrol. Similarly, the department posted its best DUI processing time objective during FY08 at 1.3 hours to process each DUI arrest.

Although the department did not meet the DUI arrest rate objective and alcohol-related contact rate objective during the first three years of funding, the department significantly improved these objectives in FY08 and maintained this level through FY09. Prior to

FY08, the department's best DUI arrest rate was one DUI arrest made for every 18.7 patrol hours, but, in FY08, the department improved its DUI arrest rate to one made for every 2.7 patrol hours. Continuing this trend, in FY09, the department averaged one DUI arrest for every 3.1 patrol hours. A similar pattern is shown for the alcohol-related contact rate. During its first three years of funding, the Elgin Police Department averaged one alcohol-related contact for about every 10 patrol hours, but, in FY08, the department averaged one alcohol-related contact for every 1.9 patrol hours. The department continued this trend in FY09 by making one alcohol-related contact for every 2.3 patrol hours.

The department has consistently missed the weekly patrol hours week objective during all five years of funding. Although the department has consistently missed this objective, the department came close to meeting this objective in FY06.

Macon County

The Macon County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the past two years.

The sheriff's office has consistently met three objectives: the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The sheriff's office has averaged better than one DUI arrest made for every 8.0 patrol hours. Similarly, the sheriff's office has averaged better than one alcohol-related contact made for every 4.8 patrol hours. Finally, the sheriff's office has averaged better than 1.6 hours to process each DUI arrest.

While the sheriff's office has consistently met the alcohol-related objectives, the sheriff's office has not met the weekly patrol hours objective or the motorist contact rate objective. While the sheriff's office has not met the weekly patrol hours objective, it has come close during both years. A similar trend is shown with the motorist contact rate objective. While the sheriff's office did not meet this objective, it came close during both years of funding.

Sangamon County

The Illinois Department of Transportation has awarded LAP funding to Sangamon County for increased DUI and alcohol-related driving offense enforcement for the past thirteen years. The enforcement objectives for the grant have remained similar over all thirteen years. In the first three years, this project consistently met four (motorist contact rate, DUI arrest rate, alcohol-related contact rate, and DUI processing time) of the six objectives. Over the thirteen years of funding, the Sangamon County LAP project has had mixed results, but it has shown more consistent results related to alcohol-related driving violations in recent years.

Although the sheriff's office failed to actively pursue alcohol-related driving offenses from 2000 to 2003, in recent years, the Sangamon County Sheriff's Office has been more active in the pursuit of alcohol-related driving offenses. Since FY04, the sheriff's office has consistently made one DUI arrest for less than every six patrol hours. Since 2004, the DUI arrest rate has ranged from one DUI arrest made for every 3.9 patrol hours in FY05 to one DUI arrest made for every 5.8 patrol hours in FY09. A similar trend is shown with the alcohol-related contact rate objective. Since FY04, the sheriff's office

has consistently made one alcohol-related contact for less than every five patrol hours. Since 2004, the alcohol-related contact rate has ranged from one alcohol-related contact made for every 2.7 hours in FY05 to one alcohol-related contact made for every 5.0 hours in FY09.

The Sangamon County Sheriff's Office has been unable to consistently meet the patrol hours per week objective, the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI processing time objective, and the occupant restraint percentage. The sheriff's office has been required to average more than 35 patrol hours per week, but only met this objective during FY01 through FY03. While the sheriff's office met or was close to meeting the motorist contact rate objective from FY97 through FY08, in FY09 the sheriff's office had it worst motorist contact rate by only issuing one citation for every 90.2 minutes of patrol. Typically, the sheriff's office has had a DUI processing time close to the two hour objective, but has only met the objective four years out of the thirteen years of funding.

This project has never met the occupant restraint percentage objective. As of FY09, the sheriff's office was not required to meet this objective.

Skokie

The Skokie Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous three years. The department did a much better job of meeting its objectives in FY07 than in the following two years.

Over the three years, the department consistently met the weekly patrol hours objective, the motorist contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. The department averaged 28.4 patrol hours per week in FY07, 25.9 patrol hours per week in FY08, and 37.1 patrol hours per week in FY09. The department averaged one motorist contact for every 25.9 minutes of patrol in FY07, one motorist contact for every 30.9 minutes of patrol in FY08, and one motorist contact for every 34.6 minutes in FY09. The department has consistently averaged less than two hours to process each DUI arrest during all three years of funding.

Although the department met the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives during the first year of funding, the department failed to meet these objectives during the following two year of funding. In its first year of funding, the department averaged one DUI arrest for every 6.8 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 5.1 patrol hours. The department failed to meet these objectives in FY08 and FY09. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 14.4 patrol hours in FY08 and one DUI arrest for every 18.8 hours in FY09. Similarly, the department failed to meet the alcohol-related contact rate in FY08 and FY09 by averaging one alcohol-related contact for every 10.7 patrol hours in FY08 and one alcohol-related contact for every 11.5 patrol hours in FY09.

Springfield

The Springfield Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous four years. The department had its best performance in FY06. Since then, the department has had a hard time meeting its objectives.

In FY06, the Springfield Police Department met three of its six objectives including the DUI arrest rate objective, the alcohol-related contact rate objective, and the DUI processing time objective. In FY07, the department only met the DUI processing time objective and the occupant restraint percentage objective. In FY09, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective and the DUI processing time objective.

Over the four years of funding, the department has not met the weekly patrol hours objective and the motorist contact rate objective. The department was scheduled to conduct more than 100 patrol hours per week during the first three years of funding, but, at best, the department only averaged 70.3 patrol hours per week in FY07 during those three years. In FY09, the department was scheduled to conduct only 60 patrol hours per week and came close to meeting this objective by averaging 53.1 patrol hours per week. Similarly, the department posted its best motorist contact rate in FY07 by making one motorist contact for every 65.1 minutes of patrol, but during FY09, the department had its worst motorist contact rate by averaging one citation written for every 166.9 minutes of patrol.

The Springfield Police Department only met the DUI arrest rate and alcohol-related contact rate objectives in FY06 by averaging one DUI arrest for every 5.3 patrol hours and one alcohol-related contact for every 3.9 patrol hours. During the two previous years, the department failed to meet both of these objectives. The department averaged one DUI arrest for every 11.7 patrol hours in FY07 and one DUI arrest for every 13.6 patrol hours in FY08. In FY09, the department met the DUI arrest rate objective by making one DUI arrest for every 8.5 patrol hours. Regarding the alcohol-related contact rate, in FY07 the department averaged one alcohol-related contact for every 9.4 patrol hours; in FY08 the department averaged one alcohol-related contact for every 11.6 patrol hours; and in FY09 the department averaged one alcohol-related contact for every 6.4 patrol hours.

With regards to the occupant restraint percentage objective, the Springfield Police Department has consistently improved in this area during the first three years of funding. In FY06, the department only averaged 4.8 percent of its citations for occupant restraint violations. In FY07, the department's occupant restraint percentage increased to 28.5. In FY08, the department met the occupant restraint objective by issuing more than 40 percent of all of its citations for occupant restraint violations. During FY09, the department did not need to meet this objective.

St. Clair County

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous five years. The sheriff's office has had mixed results over the five years of funding, but showed improvements during FY09.

The St. Clair County Sheriff's has met the weekly patrol hours objective for the past four years. The sheriff's office met the motorist contact rate during its first three years, but failed to meet this objective during FY08 and FY09. Although the sheriff's office had not met the DUI arrest rate objective during the first four years of funding, the sheriff's office met this objective during FY09. The sheriff's office also met the alcohol-related contact rate objective four of the five years. It has only met the DUI processing time objective

two of the five years. The sheriff's office has never met the occupant restraint percentage objective.

Although the sheriff's office failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective during the first year of funding, it has consistently averaged more than 45 patrol hours per week from FY06 through FY09. With regards to the motorist contact rate, the sheriff's office met this objective during the first three years of funding, but failed to meet this objective during FY08 and FY09.

With regards to the alcohol-related objectives, the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has improved their DUI arrest rate over the years. In FY09, the sheriff's office finally met the DUI arrest rate objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.4 patrol hours. The sheriff's office has consistently met the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The only time the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective was FY06 when it averaged 6.5 patrol hours for every alcohol-related contact. The sheriff's office has met the DUI processing time objective for two of the five years. It met this objective during FY06 and FY07. Although the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective in FY05, FY08, and FY09, it came close to meeting the objective during those years.

The St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has failed to meet the occupant restraint percentage objective. Over the five years of funding, the percentage of citations that the St. Clair County Sheriff's Office has issued for occupant restraint violations has progressively decreased reaching a low of 6.5 percent during FY09.

Waukegan

The Waukegan Police Department has received funding for a LAP grant for the past six of the past seven years, excluding FY08. The department has been very active in pursuing alcohol-related driving violations. The department has consistently met the motorist contact rate objective, the DUI arrest rate objective, and the alcohol-related contact rate objective. The department has failed to meet the weekly patrol hours objective. Also, the department failed to meet the DUI processing time objective in the first three years of funding, but it met this objective in FY06, FY07, and FY09.

The department has consistently met the alcohol-related objectives over the six years of funding. The department's DUI arrest rate has ranged from making one DUI arrest for every 2.5 patrol hours in FY03 to making one DUI arrest for every 4.8 patrol hours during FY06, FY07, and FY09. Also, the department has maintained a high alcohol-related contact rate by making from one alcohol-related contact for every 1.4 patrol hours in FY03 to making one alcohol-related contact for every 3.9 patrol hours in FY09. Although the department failed to meet the motorist contact rate objective in FY07 by making one motorist contact for every 62.0 minutes of patrol, during the other five years, the department's motorist contact rate has ranged from making one motorist contact for every 30.7 minutes of patrol in FY03 to making one motorist contact for every 53.6 minutes of patrol in FY06.

The department was unable to meet the DUI processing objective for the first three years of funding, but met this objective in FY06, FY07, and FY09 by averaging less than 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest. The department has never met the weekly patrol hours objective over the six years of funding.

Will County

The Will County Sheriff's Office has received funding for a LAP grant for the previous five years. During the first two years of funding in FY05 and FY06, the sheriff's office met three of the five objectives each year. On the other hand, during FY07 and FY08, the sheriff's office only met one objective across those two years. During the most recent year of FY09, the sheriff's office met four of the five objectives.

The only objective that the Will County Sheriff's Office has consistently met has been the motorist contact rate objective. Although the sheriff's office failed to meet this objective during FY07, it has averaged better than one motorist contact made for every 55 minutes of patrol in the other four years.

The Will County Sheriff's Office met the DUI arrest rate objective during the first two years of funding, but failed to meet this objective during FY07 and FY08. The sheriff's office improved in FY09 and met this objective by averaging one DUI arrest for every 7.7 patrol hours. The sheriff's office only met the alcohol-related contact rate objective during the first year of funding and the most recent year of funding, FY09. Although the sheriff's office had averaged 2.1 hours to process each DUI arrest during the first four years of funding, the sheriff's office met this objective during FY09 by averaging 1.9 hours to process each DUI arrest. The sheriff's office has not met the weekly patrol hours objective by averaging slightly more than 20 patrol hours per week.

Table 22: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding - LAP

Location		Project Objectives														
Project Year	Patrol So Hours			ontact rate & Warnings		UI t Rate	Alcohol- Contac		DUI Prod Time Po		Occu Restraint F		Months of Project Data			
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.				
Buffalo Grove																
FY09	> 25.0	34.6	< 60 min.	54.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	6.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12			
FY08	> 25.0	28.6	< 60 min.	58.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12			
FY07	> 25.0	30.9	< 60 min.	41.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12			
FY06	> 25.0	21.9	< 60 min.	44.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12			
Chicago																
FY09	> 36.0	36.1	< 60 min.	20.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	14.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.7 hrs.	> 30.0	6.1	12			
FY08	> 36.0	47.3	< 60 min.	17.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	12.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	12.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	7.0 hrs.	> 30.0	6.9	12			
FY07	> 58.1	45.0	< 60 min.	22.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	13.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	4.1 hrs.	> 30.0	9.2	12			
FY06	> 58.1	42.6	< 60 min.	29.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	20.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	18.4	9			
FY05	> 58.1	47.2	< 60 min.	34.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	16.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	10.7	12			
FY04	> 58.2	49.8	< 60 min.	23.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	38.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	26.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	14.4	12			
FY03	> 58.2	54.9	< 60 min.	25.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	29.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	18.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	14.3	12			
FY02	> 58.2	61.8	< 60 min.	29.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	31.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	23.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	15.9	12			
FY01	> 49.9	64.3	< 60 min.	26.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	24.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	15.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	15.7	12			
FY00	> 49.9	57.9	< 60 min.	28.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	73.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	47.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	N/A	> 30.0	19.7	12			
FY99	> 49.9	52.0	< 60 min.	27.2 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	23.3	12			
FY98	> 58.2	58.2	< 60 min.	28.9 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	24.7	12			
FY97	> 33.0	37.1	< 60 min.	19.4 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 30.0	19.4	12			
FY96	> 33.0	35.2	< 60 min.	17.2 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 20.0	18.4	12			
FY95	> 30.0	42.7	< 60 min.	20.9 min.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	> 20.0	20.0	12			
Chicago Heights																
FY09	> 35.0	8.9	< 60 min.	51.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 30.0	1.5	12			
FY08	> 35.0	11.4	< 60 min.	50.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	> 30.0	2.6	12			
FY07	> 35.0	15.1	< 60 min.	42.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	7.1	12			
FY06	> 35.0	12.8	< 60 min.	36.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	2.6	12			
FY05	> 35.0	20.5	< 60 min.	39.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	> 30.0	2.7	12			
FY04	> 35.0	24.2	< 60 min.	30.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	> 30.0	5.5	12			
Elgin																
FY09	> 35.0	24.5	< 60 min.	24.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	3.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12			
FY08	> 35.0	17.3	< 60 min.	23.5 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	2.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.3 hrs.	N/A	N/A	11			
FY07	> 35.0	31.2	< 60 min.	48.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	23.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	5			
FY06	> 29.1	29.0	< 60 min.	45.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	21.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	5			
FY05	> 29.1	18.3	< 60 min.	49.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	18.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	6			

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

Table 22: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding – LAP - continued

Location	Project Objectives														
Project Year	Patrol So Hours			ontact rate & Warnings	D Arres	UI t Rate	Alcohol- Contac		DUI Prod Time Pe		Occu Restraint P	Months of Project Data			
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.			
Macon County															
FY09	> 35.0	29.9	< 60 min.	69.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	7.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY08	> 35.0	33.6	< 60 min.	68.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.0 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.6 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
Sangamon County															
FY09	> 35.0	28.5	< 60 min.	90.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.6 hrs.	> 30.0	0.3	12		
FY08	> 35.0	33.5	< 60 min.	62.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.1 hrs.	> 30.0	2.9	12		
FY07	> 35.0	30.7	< 60 min.	61.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.9 hrs.	> 30.0	0.4	12		
FY06	> 35.0	30.9	< 60 min.	66.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	0.4	12		
FY05	> 35.0	31.0	< 60 min.	66.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 30.0	0.1	12		
FY04	> 35.0	30.6	< 60 min.	59.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 30.0	0.1	12		
FY03	> 35.0	55.1	< 60 min.	47.3 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	52.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	19.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	> 30.0	11.7	8		
FY02	> 35.0	41.3	< 60 min.	39.8 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	18.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.0 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	> 30.0	12.4	10		
FY01	> 35.0	47.2	< 60 min.	48.8 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.1 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	3.3 hrs.	> 30.0	16.6	12		
FY00	> 35.0	23.4	< 60 min.	63.9 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.8 hrs.	> 30.0	18.2	9		
FY99	> 35.0	21.3	< 60 min.	45.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	7.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	13.0	15		
FY98	> 35.0	22.0	< 60 min.	50.8 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	4.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.3 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	> 30.0	6.0	15		
FY97	> 35.0	27.5	< 60 min.	39.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	5.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.4 hrs.	> 30.0	8.4	10		
Skokie															
FY09	> 24.0	37.1	< 60 min.	34.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	18.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY08	> 24.0	25.9	< 60 min.	30.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	14.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	10.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY07	> 24.0	28.4	< 60 min.	25.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	6.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
Springfield															
FY09	> 100.0	53.1	< 60 min.	166.9 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 30.0	5.4	12		
FY08	> 100.0	68.5	< 60 min.	69.3 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	13.6 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	11.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.7 hrs.	> 30.0	40.7	12		
FY07	> 100.0	70.3	< 60 min.	65.1 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.3 hrs.	> 30.0	28.5	12		
FY06	> 100.0	34.7	< 60 min.	97.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	5.3 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	0.9 hrs.	> 30.0	4.8	11		
St. Clair Co.															
FY09	> 43.8	52.0	< 60 min.	82.6 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	7.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	> 25.0	6.5	11		
FY08	> 43.8	47.0	< 60 min.	64.1 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.1 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	> 25.0	9.1	10		
FY07	> 43.8	49.5	< 60 min.	51.4 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	11.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 25.0	16.7	10		
FY06	> 43.8	45.6	< 60 min.	43.9 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	15.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	6.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.0 hrs.	> 25.0	15.2	12		
FY05	> 43.8	32.9	< 60 min.	39.4 min.	< 8.0 hrs.	10.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	4.6 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	> 25.0	21.8	12		

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

Table 22: Progress Over Multiple Years of Funding - LAP - continued

Location	Project Objectives														
Project Year		Patrol Schedule Hours/week		Motorist contact rate Citations & Warnings		DUI Arrest Rate		Alcohol-Related Contact Rate		essing er DUI	Occupant Restraint Percentage		Months of Project Data		
	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.	OBJ.	ACT.			
Waukegan															
FY09	> 80.0**	48.8**	< 60 min.	52.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY07	> 80.0**	50.5**	< 60 min.	62.0 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY06	> 80.0**	58.5**	< 60 min.	53.6 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.7 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.8 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY05	> 80.0**	51.7**	< 60 min.	51.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	4.2 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.3 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY04	> 80.0**	56.6**	< 60 min.	35.4 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	2.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.4 hrs.	N/A	N/A	11		
FY03	> 80.0**	55.4**	< 60 min.	30.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	2.5 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	1.4 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.2 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
Will County															
FY09	> 40.0	24.2	< 60 min.	36.7 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	7.7 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	5.9 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	1.9 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY08	> 40.0	20.9	< 60 min.	55.5 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	12.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	9.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY07	> 40.0	22.7	< 60 min.	64.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	11.4 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY06	> 40.0	23.8	< 60 min.	51.8 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	8.8 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	7.5 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		
FY05	> 40.0	21.2	< 60 min.	44.2 min.	< 10.0 hrs.	6.9 hrs.	< 6.0 hrs.	3.8 hrs.	< 2.0 hrs.	2.1 hrs.	N/A	N/A	12		

Bold indicates objectives that have been met.

^{**} Waukegan dedicated two full-time officers to LAP patrol for FY03 through FY07 and FY09. The actual patrol hours per week does not include vacation, sick leave, or personal time.